Analysis of Soft Tissue Display During Enjoyment Smiling: Part 1—Caucasians
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Esthetic considerations have become increasingly important in dental therapy. Evaluation of the soft tissue display during enjoyment smiling can provide useful information for esthetic oral rehabilitation. To date, no study has quantified the amount and frequency of soft tissue display in the area of the papilla. Photographic examination of 66 fully dentate patients with a mean age of 28.5 years was performed during enjoyment smiling. Digital processing and measurement of the tooth, gingival, and papillary display revealed that over 90% of subjects displayed papillae in the anterior teeth and first premolars during enjoyment smiling regardless of sex. The frequency of display in descending order consisted of maxillary lateral incisors (96%), central incisors (94%), canines (94%), first premolars (91%), second premolars (85%), and first molars (39%). The mean papillary display was 3.4 mm (range, 0.0 to 10.0 mm). There was no significant difference in the amount of papillary display between the sexes for anterior teeth, premolars, or first molars (P = .97, P = .79, and P = .48, respectively). (Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent 2013;33:e9–e15. doi: 10.11607/prd.0882)
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restoration. Among these are the midfacial mucosal implant margin in relation to the incisal edge as well as the position of the tip of the papilla. Esthetic considerations of the soft tissue contour in implant-retained restorations have mainly focused on single-tooth implants. Several studies have shown that esthetics is an important factor for complete denture success. Focus has been placed on restoring function and comfort in edentulous patients, and esthetics has been limited to the ideal position of the denture teeth. Few reports have focused on the importance of restoring the soft tissue contour in edentulous patients using artificial materials. The perception of dental esthetics varies among dental professionals. Therefore, guidelines have been promoted to achieve common standards. The mathematic quantification of natural parameters facilitates the establishment of these guidelines; therefore, esthetic-related soft tissue parameters taken from dentate patients may provide information for the esthetic fabrication of implant-retained restorations in edentulous arches.

Studies concerning smile analysis have been mainly performed from an orthodontic perspective. The majority of these studies comprised the evaluation of the anterior region during social smiling; few studies have evaluated gingival and tooth display during enjoyment smiling in males; and only two studies evaluated this parameter in males and females. No data are available on the amount and frequency of papillary display during smiling even though the papilla is seen as a critical factor in achieving the appropriate soft tissue symmetry and harmony around a prosthetic restoration.

The aim of this study was to obtain information on the amount and frequency of the displayed soft tissue profile, including the papilla, during enjoyment smiling.

Method and materials

Sixty-six subjects (30 men, 36 women; mean age, 28.4 years; range, 20 to 35 years) were selected from a Caucasian population of European origin with no facial disharmonies. They were enrolled and photographed only if they had complete natural maxillary dentitions without periodontal disease, caries, or prosthodontic treatment. The research proposal was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Charité University Medicine, Berlin, Germany, and informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Recording and measurement

A digital camera (EOS D20, Canon) was placed on a tripod, and the lens (60 mm, Macro-Objective, Canon) was adjusted to be parallel to the occlusal plane. The shutter speed was 1/125 second and the aperture was f/9.5. Subjects were instructed to hold their heads naturally by looking forward and were induced to laugh. A leveled ruler fixed on a tripod was placed near each subject's face as a reference standard to enable calibration in the digital measurement. In all subjects, the clinical crown length of the maxillary central incisors was measured using a digital caliper and compared with the digital measurement to guarantee the reliability of this method. The image best displaying the maximum enjoyment smile in each patient was selected for evaluation. Each image was processed in Photoshop CS4 (Adobe) and measured three times.

Each tooth displayed in the maxilla during enjoyment smiling was measured to exclude influences of facial asymmetry. The following parameters were measured (Figs 1a to 1c). Height of tooth display was measured from the incisal or occlusal inferior edge of the tooth to the most inferior edge of the upper lip when the tooth was not fully displayed. If the entire tooth was displayed, the distance from the zenith to the incisal edge was measured. The height of the gingival display was defined as the distance from the zenith to the incisal edge. The height of papillary display was measured from the tip of the papilla to the most inferior edge of the upper lip. If the tooth, gingiva, or papilla was not visible, the data were defined as zero.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive analysis was performed with all data recorded. The Mann-Whitney U test (SPSS 13, IBM) and
two-factorial analysis for repeated measures (SAS 9.1, SAS Institute) were used for statistical analysis. Significance was defined as $P < .05$.

Results

Tooth display

All subjects displayed portions of their maxillary teeth up to the first premolar, and over 90% showed their second premolar during enjoyment smiling. The mean length of tooth display was 9.4 mm (range, 3.5 to 13 mm) for the central incisor, 8.5 mm (range, 3.9 to 12.6 mm) for the lateral incisor, 9.0 mm (range, 1.5 to 15.7 mm) for the canine, 7.2 mm (range, 3.7 to 9.7 mm) for the first premolar, 5.7 mm (0.0 to 9.2 mm) for the second premolar, and 2.3 mm (range, 0.0 to 8.5 mm) for the first molar. Men displayed more tooth height (mean, 7.2 mm) at all maxillary teeth than women (mean, 6.8 mm) except for at the first molar, but a significant difference was only found for anterior teeth ($P = .01$). No significant variation in the amount of display of the premolars and first molars was found between sexes ($P = .71$ and $P = .28$, respectively). Forty-two percent of subjects showed the entire length of their central incisor, 65% displayed the entire lateral incisor, 50% showed the entire canine, 50% showed the entire first premolar, 41% showed the entire second premolar, and 24% showed the entire first molar.

Gingival display

The mean gingival display was 1.3 mm (range, 0.0 to 6.4 mm). The frequency of gingival display is provided in Table 1. The greatest mean gingival display was found at the first and second premolars, followed by the canine, lateral incisor, and central incisor in descending order. The mean gingival display was lowest in the region of the first molar (mean, 0.5 mm; range, 0.0 to 4.0 mm). Seventeen percent of
subjects displayed gingiva from the central incisor to the first molar. The mean gingival height of such subjects was 2.7 mm (range, 0.7 to 6.4 mm).

The mean gingival display in women was 1.5 mm (range, 0.0 to 6.4 mm). Figure 2 depicts the mean gingival display itemized by region and gender. Twenty-two percent of women displayed gingiva from the central incisor to the first molar, with a mean of 3.0 mm (range, 0.7 to 6.4 mm). Men had a mean gingival display of 1.1 mm (range, 0.0 to 4.8 mm). The greatest mean gingival display was found at the first and second premolars (Fig 2b).

Ten percent of men displayed gingiva from the central incisor to the first molar. The mean gingival display for these subjects was 2.5 mm (range, 0.7 to 4.8 mm). Twenty percent of the subjects did not display any gingiva during enjoyment smile (Fig 3).

Women showed slightly more gingiva than men, but this difference was not significant in either the anterior teeth, premolars, or first molars (P = .43, P = .8, and P = .57, respectively). Sixty-two percent of subjects displayed gingiva during enjoyment smiling in the region of at least one of the teeth evaluated.

**Papillary display**

Overall, subjects showed a mean papilla height of 3.4 mm (range, 0.0 to 10.0 mm). Mean papillary display was 3.8 mm (range, 0.0 to 9.3 mm) at the central incisor, 4.4 mm (range, 0.0 to 10.0 mm) at the lateral incisor, 3.7 mm (range, 0.0 to 9.1 mm) at the canine, 3.0 mm (range, 0.0 to 8.6 mm) at the second premolar, and 1.3 mm (range, 0.0 to 7.2 mm) at the first molar.

The papillae of the anterior teeth and the first premolar were displayed in over 90% of subjects.

---

**Table 1** Frequency of gingival display (%)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Central incisor</th>
<th>Lateral incisor</th>
<th>Canine</th>
<th>First premolar</th>
<th>Second premolar</th>
<th>First molar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Women (n = 36)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men (n = 30)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*FDI tooth-numbering system.*
The frequency of papillary display in each region is shown in Table 2. Fifty-three percent of subjects showed papillae from the central incisor to the first molar, with a mean papillary height of 3.4 mm (range, 0.5 to 9.0 mm). In women, the mean display was 3.4 mm (range, 0.0 to 10.0 mm). The lateral incisor and canine presented the greatest mean papillary display, followed by the first and second premolars (Figs 2a and 2b).

Fifty-six percent of women showed papillae from the central incisor to the first molar. The mean display was 3.5 mm (range, 0.5 to 9.0 mm) (Fig 2a). In men, the mean papillary display was 3.3 mm (range, 0.0 to 8.2 mm) (Fig 2b). Fifty percent of men displayed papillae from the central incisor to the first molar, with a mean of 3.4 mm (range, 0.5 to 8.1 mm). Women tended to show a greater amount and frequency of papillary display than men, but without a significant difference for the anterior teeth, premolars, and first molars ($P = .97$, $P = .79$, and $P = .48$, respectively). If the molar was displayed during smiling, the papilla was also displayed.

**Discussion**

Over 90% of subjects, regardless of sex, displayed soft tissue during enjoyment smiling. The existing literature has mainly focused on the display of gingiva in the anterior teeth and premolars. Even though the papilla is seen as a critical factor in the reestablishment of natural crown ratios and a natural soft tissue profile, no quantification of the display of soft tissue in the area of the papilla during enjoyment smiling has been performed to date.
In this study, the display of maxillary teeth (central incisor to first molar) during enjoyment smiling was measured. The results show that men display significantly more clinical crown length at the anterior maxillary teeth than women. This is in accordance with the findings of Al-Habahbeh et al. Kapagiannidis et al did not quantify tooth display during enjoyment smiling, only the frequency of tooth display. Peck et al measured the clinical crown length of the maxillary left central incisor but not the amount of tooth display during smiling.

Kapagiannidis et al evaluated the maxillary left central incisor, canine, and premolars of subjects with a broad age range of 16 to 82 years. The mean values of all subjects were analyzed statistically and revealed that females display their teeth more frequently than males, but the difference was only significant for the central incisors. Forty-two percent of central incisors were noted as displaying the entire clinical crown, similar to the results of this study. This study revealed that the entire maxillary lateral incisor is most frequently displayed in both sexes, followed by the first premolar. More than 20% of subjects displayed their entire first molar. This indicates the necessity for esthetic evaluation of the maxillary premolars and first molar during restorative treatment.

The mean gingival display during enjoyment smiling found in women and men is in accordance with data found in other studies. Van der Geld et al reported the same mean gingival display at the central incisors of Caucasian men as in this study. The maxillary second premolar showed the greatest mean gingival display during enjoyment smiling, which is in accordance with previous studies. The overall frequency of gingival display was slightly greater in this study when compared to the data presented by Kapagiannidis et al and Al-Habahbeh et al. This might be because those studies did not exclude subjects with periodontal disease. Also, patients with a broad age range were included, limiting the comparability with this study, which had a defined age range.

The interdental papilla is a critical factor in esthetic dentistry and remains a challenge for clinicians despite numerous techniques that have been described for its preservation and reformation. It has been postulated that the papilla enhances a youthful appearance and serves as a complimentary factor in age interpretation. However, no data on the amount and frequency of papillary display during smiling are available. Kapagiannidis et al concluded that the overall amount of gingival display would increase if the values of the interdental papilla were included, but no data were reported. In this study, up to 97% of subjects displayed papillae in one or more maxillary sites during enjoyment smiling. Women displayed papillae more often than men but without statistical significance. More than 90% of anterior teeth and premolars displayed papillae during smiling, and half of the subjects showed the papilla of the first molar. More than half of the subjects showed all papillae from the central incisor to the first molar in the maxilla. This indicates that the papilla is an important esthetic feature during dynamic oral function (enjoyment smiling). In this study, only subjects between the ages of 20 and 35 years were measured. Therefore, age-related changes in the amount and frequency of gingival and papillary display cannot be addressed, necessitating further studies that evaluate age-dependent variations of soft tissue papillary display.

In clinical practice, enjoyment smile analysis can provide essential diagnostic information and quantify dynamic lip-tooth-gingiva relationships for the esthetic restoration of patients, including edentulous patients.

**Conclusions**

Restoration of the maxillary papilla can be crucial for an esthetic outcome. Up to 97% of subjects in this study displayed soft tissue in the area of the papilla of the lateral incisor during enjoyment smiling. Overall, papillary display in the anterior teeth and first premolar was exhibited in over 90% of subjects. The papilla of the second premolar was revealed in over 80% of subjects, whereas the papilla of the first molar was displayed in more than 30% of the subjects. There was no significant difference between the sexes.
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