Bony defect of palate and vomer in submucous cleft palate patients


Abstract. The aim of this study was to visualize bony defects of the palate and vomer in submucous cleft palate patients (SMCP) by three-dimensional (3D) computed tomography (CT) reconstruction and to classify the range of bony defects. Forty-eight consecutive non-operated SMCP patients were included. Diagnosis was based on the presence of at least one of three classical signs of SMCP: bifid uvula, a translucent zone in the midline of the soft palate, and a palpable ‘V’ notch on the posterior border of the bony palate. Patients were imaged using spiral CT. 3D reconstruction models were created of the palate and vomer. The sagittal extent of the bony cleft in SMCP was classified into four types: type I, no V-shaped hard palate cleft (8.3%); type II, cleft involving the partial palate (43.8%); type III, cleft involving the complete palate and extending to the incisive foramen (43.8%); type IV, cleft involving the complete palate and the alveolar bone (4.2%). The extent of the vomer defect was classified into three types: type A, vomer completely fused with the palate (8.3%); type B, vomer partially fused with the palate (43.8%); type C, vomer not fused with the palate up to the incisive foramen (47.9%). Significant variability in hard palate defects in SMCP is the rule rather than the exception. The association of velopharyngeal insufficiency with anatomical malformations may be complex.

Submucous cleft palate (SMCP) is a congenital anomaly with a reported prevalence of 1:1250–1:6000.1 Calnan2 described SMCP as the anatomical triad of a bifid uvula, a bony notch at the back edge of the hard palate, and a translucent zone in the midline of the soft palate. Occult SMCP was introduced by Kaplan in 1975.3 In this condition, there is no classic triad of SMCP, only a diastasis of the palatal muscles and velopharyngeal insufficiency (VPI). Occult SMCP can be identified further by nasopharyngeal endoscopy, showing the absence or hypoplasia of the musculus uvulae.

The aim of this study was to examine the anatomical variations of the hard palate and vomer in symptomatic SMCP by three-dimensional (3D) computed tomography (CT). An additional goal was to examine the correlation of bony defects with mucosal manifestations in SMCP.

Materials and methods
Forty-eight consecutive non-operated SMCP patients, seen at the cleft lip and palate centre from October 2008 to April 2013, were included in this study. Diagnosis was based on the presence of at least one...
of the three classical signs and evidence of VPI confirmed by nasopharyngeal endoscopy. The classical signs are a bifid uvula, a translucent zone in the midline of the soft palate, and a palpable ‘V’ notch on the posterior border of the bony palate.

All patients were scanned using a 16-slice CT scanner (GE BrightSpeed Elite; GE Healthcare) to obtain morphometric CT data of the bony palate and maxillary structures. Axial scans were performed from the anterior cranial base down to the inferior border of the mandible. Before scanning, the patient’s head was positioned precisely in the CT scan unit, aligning Reid’s base (line joining the infraorbital margin to the centre of the external auditory meatus) with the horizontal laser positioning guide beam. The external midline of the face (represented by the line joining the glabella, soft tissue nasion, and soft tissue pogonion) was aligned to the midsagittal laser positioning guide beam from the CT gantry. CT scans without contrast (thickness 2 mm, 140–160 mA, 120 kV) were obtained in the sequence described above.

Local research and ethics committee approval was obtained for the study. Each patient provided written consent prior to CT scanning.

A 3D CT reconstruction of the patient’s head was created using GVCM software (version no. 3.1.35, China, CREALIFE TECHNOLOGY) with bone density. The areas above the anterior nasal spine and below the mandibular view were cut out to superimpose the palate alone. Each occlusal view of the hard palate and lateral view of the vomer was captured from the 3D model, as shown in Fig. 1.

### 3D reconstruction model analysis

Based on analysis of the existing classifications of cleft palate, in particular the Kernahan-Y classification with the modification of Smith et al., we developed a system to describe the deformity of the bony cleft palate in SMCP. The designations and associated descriptions are as follows: type I, no V-shaped hard palate cleft; type II, cleft involving the partial palate; type III, cleft involving the complete palate and extending to the incisive foramen; type IV, cleft involving the complete palate and the alveolar bone.

Bony vomer malformations have rarely been described in previous articles, so we developed a classification based on the CT findings of SMCP. The type descriptions are as follows: type A, vomer completely fused with the palate; type B, vomer partially fused with the palate; type C, vomer not fused with the palate up to the incisive foramen.

Three surgeons independently assessed the classifications of the bony palate and vomer using the CT images and 3D reconstructions, according to the criteria described above.

### Results

#### Bony defect of the palate

A great variation in the sagittal extent of the cleft was observed in malformations of the hard palate, ranging from unaffected to extension of the cleft to the alveolar bone. On clinical examination, the mucous cleft is not necessarily related to the bony cleft. Figure 2 shows four different cases of radiological cleft palate and clinical mucous cleft.

To assess inter-rater reliability, the kappa statistic was used to evaluate the consistency of the classification system. The correlation coefficient ($R$) was $>0.7$, proving good inter-rater reliability.

The proportions of the four types of bony cleft palate were determined to be as follows: type I, no V-shaped cleft, 8.3% (4/48); type II, cleft involving the partial palate, 43.8% (21/48); type III, cleft involving the complete palate and extending to the incisive foramen, 43.8% (21/48); type IV, cleft involving the complete palate and the alveolar bone, 4.2% (2/48).

#### Bony defect of the vomer

The proportions of the three types of vomer malformation (Fig. 3) were determined to be as follows: type A, vomer completely fused with the palate, 8.3% (4/48); type B, vomer partially fused with the palate, 43.8% (21/48); type C, vomer not fused with the palate up to the incisive foramen, 47.9% (23/48).

The extent of vomer malformation was not necessarily correlated with the extent of the cleft palate. Some patients with a minimal bony cleft palate malformation had severe hypoplasia and the vomer not fused with the palate at all.

### Discussion

The bony defect in SMCP has been described vaguely as ‘a bony notch’ or ‘V notch’ of the hard palate since 1954. Sommerlad et al. described a system for grading the bony defect of the hard palate in SMCP ranging from 0 (normal) to 3 (severe), according to observations made during surgery. Grzonka et al. reported anatomical malformations of the hard palate in SMCP observed during surgery, ranging from unaffected to extending to the incisive foramen. In contrast to the vague observations made in the limited surgical view or by palpation during physical examination, CT reconstruction of the hard palate and vomer can provide clear and precise views for use not only by the surgeon but also by the speech pathologist and other doctors involved. With CT confirmation, we found a fourth type of bony malformation in SMCP–bony cleft involving the complete palate and extending to the alveolar bone. The incidence of this type is very rare, hence it has not been reported before.

SMCP was previously thought to be a small subgroup of cleft palate (CP). Our study showed the proportion of type III SMCP to be equal to that of type II, meaning that a considerable percentage of cases with SMCP have a similar bony defect of the hard palate to isolated CP cases. In previous human embryogenesis studies of CP, bony structures and the related palatal muscles, considered as the complex palatal shelves, have been shown to emerge sequentially during the
6- to 9-week period post-fertilization.\textsuperscript{9} Further myogenesis of the soft palate and ossification of the hard palate follows a definite timeline. The great inconsistency between bony structures and soft tissues in SMCP has never been reported. In fact, the similar bony defect of the hard palate and yet totally different velar musculature and clinical manifestations of SMCP compared to CP, indicate that a different developmental pattern of the palate might be present in SMCP rather than this being only a small subgroup of CP. A recent study has also reported the possibility that each type of cleft (cleft lip, cleft lip and palate, cleft palate only, and SMCP) is associated with different genes.\textsuperscript{10} SMCP may represent genetically different entities.

It is widely believed that VPI in SMCP is caused by diastasis of the levator and abnormal attachment of levator fibres. However, there is no consensus regarding whether the anatomical defect of the hard palate would affect the attachment of the palatine muscles and consequently result in VPI.\textsuperscript{9} Some investigations have shown patients with a severe cleft extent and wider cleft at the hard palate level to have a significant risk of developing VPI\textsuperscript{11,12} while other studies have revealed VPI not to be significantly associated with the type of bony defect in SMCP.\textsuperscript{13} The classification of the bony cleft palate presented here can grade the severity of the deficiency and provide detailed information about the malformation of the palate, which may contribute to the further study of speech in SMCP and the muscle–bone development sequence in CP.

We confirmed the presence of defects of the vomer in SMCP. Our results are in agreement with the findings of Grzonka et al.\textsuperscript{7} who reported that the vomer in SMCP was typically partially fused with the palatal shelves. The classification of vomer morphology showed that the
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\caption{(A) No V-shaped cleft. (B) Cleft involving the partial palate. (C) Cleft involving the complete palate and extending to the incisive foramen. (D) Cleft involving the complete palate and alveolar bone.}
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\caption{(A) Vomer fused completely with the palate. (B) Vomer partially fused with the palate. (C) Vomer not fused with the palate up to the incisive foramen.}
\end{figure}
The majority of the SMCP cases had a severe vomer defect; this should be included as a typical criterion of classic SMCP. The vomer was believed to enforce maxillary growth acting as an anchor in the craniofacial development phase. Therefore, defects of the vomer in patients with SMCP might be responsible for the maxillary hypoplasia found in non-operated patients in previous studies. Our study also showed a correlation between vomer development and sagittal maxillary growth in non-operated SMCP patients. Further research is needed to determine how the bony defect influences maxillary development.
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