# **Cell Host & Microbe**

# **Diabetes Enhances IL-17 Expression and Alters the Oral Microbiome to Increase Its Pathogenicity**

## **Graphical Abstract**



## **Highlights**

- Diabetes increases periodontal bone resorption and tooth loss in mice
- Diabetic mice have increased periodontal inflammation and IL-17 levels
- Diabetic oral microbiota induces periodontitis in wild-type germ-free recipients
- Blocking IL-17 reduces the pathogenic effect of diabetic oral microbiota

## **Authors**

E Xiao, Marcelo Mattos, Gustavo Henrique Apolinário Vieira, ..., Mayra Laino Albiero, Kyle Bittinger, Dana T. Graves

## Correspondence

dtgraves@upenn.edu

## In Brief

Diabetes increases periodontal disease, a major cause of tooth loss. Xiao et al. demonstrated, by transfer to germ-free mice, that oral microbiota from diabetic mice induced more periodontal inflammation and bone loss than microbiota from normal mice. Diabetes increased the pathogenicity of the oral microbiota through an IL-17-mediated mechanism.







# Diabetes Enhances IL-17 Expression and Alters the Oral Microbiome to Increase Its Pathogenicity

E Xiao,<sup>1,2</sup> Marcelo Mattos,<sup>2</sup> Gustavo Henrique Apolinário Vieira,<sup>3</sup> Shanshan Chen,<sup>2,4</sup> Jôice Dias Corrêa,<sup>5</sup> Yingying Wu,<sup>2,4</sup> Mayra Laino Albiero,<sup>6</sup> Kyle Bittinger,<sup>7</sup> and Dana T. Graves<sup>2,8,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Peking University, School and Hospital of Stomatology, National Engineering Laboratory for Digital and Material Technology of Stomatology, Beijing Key Laboratory of Digital Stomatology, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Periodontics, School of Dental Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>3</sup>School of Dentistry, University of São Paulo, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil

<sup>4</sup>State Key Laboratory of Oral Disease, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

<sup>5</sup>School of Dentistry, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil

<sup>6</sup>School of Dentistry, University of Campinas, Piracicaba, Brazil

<sup>7</sup>Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology, and Nutrition, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA, USA

<sup>8</sup>Lead Contact

\*Correspondence: dtgraves@upenn.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.06.014

#### SUMMARY

Diabetes is a risk factor for periodontitis, an inflammatory bone disorder and the greatest cause of tooth loss in adults. Diabetes has a significant impact on the gut microbiota; however, studies in the oral cavity have been inconclusive. By 16S rRNA sequencing, we show here that diabetes causes a shift in oral bacterial composition and, by transfer to germ-free mice, that the oral microbiota of diabetic mice is more pathogenic. Furthermore, treatment with IL-17 antibody decreases the pathogenicity of the oral microbiota in diabetic mice; when transferred to recipient germ-free mice, oral microbiota from IL-17-treated donors induced reduced neutrophil recruitment, reduced IL-6 and RANKL, and less bone resorption. Thus, diabetes-enhanced IL-17 alters the oral microbiota and renders it more pathogenic. Our findings provide a mechanistic basis to better understand how diabetes can increase the risk and severity of tooth loss.

#### INTRODUCTION

Health is dependent on the homeostasis of both inner and external microenvironments. Diabetes mellitus is characterized by hyperglycemia, inflammation, and high oxidative stress, which leads to systemic complications (Wu et al., 2015). Type 2 diabetes is caused by insulin resistance frequently associated with obesity and a failure to produce enough insulin. The microbiome, an important component of the external microenvironment, plays a key role in homeostasis and affects several pathologic processes, including diabetes (Ussar et al., 2016), hematopoiesis (Khosravi et al., 2014), skeletal health (Hernandez et al., 2016), obesity (Sweeney and Morton, 2013), and carcino-

genesis (Li et al., 2017). Thus, dysbiosis of the microbiome has a significant effect on health and disease (Sekirov et al., 2010).

The oral cavity is the initial point of entry to the digestive and respiratory tract. Over 700 bacterial species may be found in the oral cavity of humans (Paster et al., 2006). Oral microbial dysbiosis is linked to oral inflammation, is thought to trigger periodontal disease (Curtis et al., 2011; Hajishengallis et al., 2011), and may contribute to systemic conditions through bacteremia (Han and Wang, 2013). The oral microbiome may impact bacteria that colonize the gut microbiome (Nakajima et al., 2015). There is evidence that some species are more pathogenic than others; the dynamic balance of various bacteria that make up the oral microbiome has been proposed to determine periodontal disease activity (Colombo et al., 2012; Griffen et al., 2012; Hong et al., 2015).

Diabetes is a risk factor for periodontitis and increases disease severity (Emrich et al., 1991; Löe, 1993). Host factors are modified by diabetes and may play a causative role in bacteriainduced tissue damage (Andriankaja et al., 2012; Lalla and Papapanou, 2011; Pacios et al., 2012). Although some microbial studies show changes in the abundance of oral microorganisms in diabetes mellitus, there is no direct evidence that diabetes increases the pathogenicity of the oral microbiome (Ohlrich et al., 2010). A consensus report from the European Federation of Periodontology and the American Academy of Periodontology found that there is no compelling evidence that diabetes has a significant impact on the oral microbiota (Chapple et al., 2013). To investigate this important issue, we examined a mouse model and the impact of diabetes on the oral microbial composition and its pathogenicity.

#### RESULTS

#### Diabetes Causes a Shift in the Oral Microbiome with Increased Inflammation and Bone Loss

To characterize the oral microbiome in diabetic mice, we carried out 16S rRNA gene tag sequencing in mice that were





Figure 1. Diabetes Alters the Composition of the Oral Microbiome and Increases Periodontal Inflammation and Bone Loss Db/db type 2 diabetes-prone and lean normoglycemic control littermates (db/+) were examined.

(A) Alpha diversity was assessed in the microbiota of 9 normoglycemic and 10 diabetes-prone mice before hyperglycemia and 8 normoglycemic and 9 diabetic mice after the latter developed hyperglycemia.

(B) Beta (unweighted Unifrac) diversity was assessed in 9 normoglycemic and 10 diabetes-prone mice before and 8 normoglycemic and 9 diabetic mice after the development of hyperglycemia.

(C) Prominent bacterial taxa in 9 normoglycemic and 10 diabetes-prone mice before and 8 normoglycemic and 9 diabetic mice after the development of hyperglycemia.

(D) Micro-CT 3D reconstructions and sagittal slice views of normoglycemic and diabetic mice. The distance between horizontal lines represents the distance between a fixed landmark on tooth surface (cemental-enamel junction) and bone crest.

homozygous for a mutation in the leptin receptor gene (db/db mice, hereafter). Prior to the experiment, db/db mice and heterozygous control mice were co-housed for 2 weeks and randomly switched between cages at the outset to increase consistency of the oral microbiomes. Oral bacteria were collected before db/db mice became hyperglycemic and again when mice were 12– 13 weeks old, an age when db/db mice had blood glucose levels more than 225 mg/dl for 4–5 weeks.

At the outset, db/db mice and their lean littermates had a similar oral microbiome and similar bacterial diversity (Figure 1). The development of hyperglycemia led to a significant difference in the oral microbiota in normoglycemic and diabetic mice determined by alpha diversity; the number of taxonomic units in the normoglycemic group was higher than the number in the diabetic group, reflecting less bacterial diversity in the diabetic animals (Figure 1A, p < 0.05). Similar results were obtained with the Shannon index (Figure 1A, p < 0.05). The diabetic and normoglycemic groups were also distinguished by beta diversity (Figure 1B). Prior to hyperglycemia the diabetes-prone group clustered similarly to the normoglycemic. After the onset of hyperglycemia, bacterial community composition in the diabetic and normoglycemic groups were significantly different (p < 0.05). It is known that changes occur in normoglycemic mice over time (Wu et al., 2016). However, diabetes has a distinct effect, as shown by the comparison of normoglycemic and diabetic groups that were age matched. Thus, the development of hyperglycemia in diabetic mice had a clear effect on the oral microbial composition.

Analysis of prevalent bacterial taxa revealed genus- and family-level differences between bacterial communities from normoglycemic and hyperglycemic mice (Figure 1C). Specifically, after the onset of hyperglycemia, the diabetic oral cavity had increased levels of *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Aerococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Staphylococcus*, which are often associated with periodontitis or are considered to be associated with impaired healing in diabetic animals (Grice et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2006; Vieira Colombo et al., 2016) (Table S1).

A characteristic feature of periodontitis is loss of bone around the teeth. Like diabetic humans, diabetic mice had naturally occurring spontaneous periodontal bone loss (Figure 1D). Quantitative analysis of the microCT results demonstrated that the diabetic mice had less than half the amount of bone present compared to the normoglycemic controls (p < 0.05) (Figure 1E). Histologic analysis of tissue sections gave similar results, with 57% less interdental bone in the diabetic mice compared to normoglycemic mice (p < 0.05) (Figure S1A).

Periodontal bone loss typically reflects the degree of inflammation present. Diabetic mice had increased inflammatory cytokine mRNA levels (Figure 1H). IL-17 has the largest increase of mediators examined, which was confirmed at the protein level (Figure 1I). Immunofluorescence also was carried out to establish the number of neutrophils and the number of cells that express IL-6 and RANKL, cytokines that induce bone resorption. Diabetes caused a 4-fold increase in the number of neutrophils (p < 0.05) that express myeloperoxidase (MPO) (Figures 1J and S1B). In the diabetic periodontium, there was a 2-fold increase in cells expressing IL-6 and RANKL (Figures 1K, 1L, S1C, and S1D).

## The Diabetic Microenvironment Increases the Pathogenicity of the Oral Microbiome

Results above indicate that the transition to hyperglycemia in diabetic mice induces a change in periodontal status, periodontal inflammation, and the microbial composition. These changes are indicative of a more pronounced pathogenic environment in the diabetic group. To determine whether this could be accounted for by the microbiota alone, microorganisms were transferred from the oral cavity of diabetic and matched normoglycemic mice to normal germ-free recipient animals with a ligature placed between the left maxillary 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> molars. Germ-free mice were chosen as recipients to reduce the influence of the commensal bacteria.

Transfer of bacteria from diabetic mice to germ-free recipients induced a larger amount of bone loss than transfer from normoglycemic donors (Figure 2A). As shown by micro-CT analysis, there was 42% less bone in mice that received bacteria from the diabetic group compared to bacteria from the normoglycemic group (Figure 2B, p < 0.05). As shown by histologic analysis, the recipients of bacteria from the diabetic group had 57% less bone than recipients of bacteria from normoglycemic controls (data not shown). Bacteria from the normal group also had some pathogenic potential, as it induced bone loss compared to germ-free mice without bacterial transfer (p < 0.05, Figure 2C), although significantly less than bacteria from

(K) Immunofluorescence with IL-6 antibody. IL-6 immunopositive cells were counted in gingival connective tissue.

<sup>(</sup>E) Percent periodontal bone remaining in normoglycemic and diabetic mice measured by micro-CT. A minimum of six maxillary samples from different mice were examined per group, with the analysis performed three times with similar results.

<sup>(</sup>F) TRAP-stained histologic sections of periodontal tissue from diabetic and normoglycemic mice. The horizontal line represents the position of cemental-enamel junction, and the vertical line extends from cemental-enamel junction to bone height. Osteoclasts are stained red.

<sup>(</sup>G) Osteoclast numbers in TRAP-stained histologic sections per mm bone length. Two slides from a minimum of six maxillary samples were analyzed per group from different animals, and the analysis was carried out twice with similar results.

<sup>(</sup>H) mRNA was extracted from the gingiva of molar teeth, RNA was extracted, and mRNA levels of TNF, IL-1α, IL-17A, and iNOS were measured by real-time PCR in normoglycemic (NG) and diabetic (DB) groups. A minimum of six maxillary gingival tissue samples per group were examined from different mice by real-time PCR, which was performed three times with similar results.

<sup>(</sup>I) Representative immunostaining of histologic sections of normoglycemic and diabetic mice with anti-IL-17A antibody. Horizontal line = 100 µm.

<sup>(</sup>J) Immunofluorescence with antibody to myeloperoxidase. Myeloperoxidase-positive cells were counted in gingival connective tissue.

<sup>(</sup>L) Immunofluorescence with antibody specific for RANKL. RANKL immunopositive cells were counted in the gingival connective tissue and periodontal ligament. Nuclei were detected with DAPI counterstain. Data are expressed as number of positive cells per mm<sup>2</sup> gingival connective tissue or periodontal ligament. For immunofluorescence analysis (I–K), two or three slides were analyzed from at least eight maxillary samples per group, each from a different animal. Immuno-fluorescence with each control antibody was negative (not shown). Original magnification of fluorescent images, 400×.\* indicates statistical difference (p < 0.05) between diabetic and matched normoglycemic mice.



#### Figure 2. Diabetes Increases the Pathogenicity of Bacteria Transferred to Normal Germ-free Mice

Bacteria were collected by swabbing the teeth and adjacent periodontal tissue of 5 diabetic (db/db) mice or 5 normoglycemic controls (db/+). Collected bacteria were transferred twice with 1 day in between to normal germ-free mice that had ligatures placed between the left maxillary 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> molars. Mice were euthanized and periodontal tissues from recipient normal germ-free mice were examined 1 week after the first bacterial transfer.

(A) Representative images of 3D micro-CT reconstructions of periodontal bone following bacterial transfer.

(B) Periodontal bone was measured by micro-CT following bacterial transfer. Five maxillary samples from five different mice per group were analyzed, and the analysis was performed three times.

(C) Representative histologic sections of germ-free mice that received bacteria from normoglycemic and diabetic donor mice were examined by TRAP staining. (D) The number of TRAP-stained bone-lining multinucleated osteoclasts was counted per mm bone length.

(E) Immunofluorescence with an antibody specific for myeloperoxidase to quantify neutrophils per mm<sup>2</sup> gingival connective tissue after bacterial transfer to normal germ-free mice. Immunofluorescence with control antibody was negative.

(legend continued on next page)

diabetic mice. Thus, bacteria from diabetic mice stimulated the most bone loss.

Transfer of bacteria from diabetic mice to germ-free recipients induced 3-fold more osteoclasts than bacteria from normoglycemic mice (p < 0.05, Figure 2D), consistent with bone measurements. We previously showed that inoculation of bacteria in diabetic mice induces greater inflammation than an equal inoculation in normoglycemic mice (Naguib et al., 2004). Here we took the opposite approach to determine whether bacteria from diabetic mice stimulate a greater inflammatory response. The number of neutrophils was higher in the periodontium of germ-free mice exposed to bacteria from diabetic mice compared to normoglycemic animals (Figure 2E). Bacteria from diabetic mice increased MPO-positive neutrophils 2-fold compared to bacteria from normoglycemic controls, which was significantly higher than germ-free mice not exposed to any bacteria (Figures 2E and S2A). Bacteria from diabetic mice increased the number of cells expressing IL-6 and RANKL 2- to 3-fold higher compared to bacteria from normoglycemic animals (Figures 2F, 2G, S2B, and S2C). At the mRNA level, there was a significantly greater 2- to 4-fold greater induction of IL-17, MPO, and IL-6 in the gingiva of recipients inoculated with bacteria from diabetic mice compared to bacteria from normoglycemic animals or germ-free mice that did not receive bacteria (Figure 2H). Furthermore, these results were not simply due to higher bacterial loads in the diabetic mice, as the amount of bacteria obtained from both groups was similar (Figure S3A). That bacteria were successfully transferred from each donor group to germ-free recipients is supported by Principal Coordinates Analysis (PCoA) data showing distinct bacterial colonization in the recipients (Figure S3B). These findings indicate that the diabetic oral microbiome is considerably more pathogenic than the normal oral microbiome.

#### IL-17 Inhibition Reduces the Pathogenicity of the Oral Microbiome in Diabetic Mice

To test whether diabetes-enhanced inflammation increased the pathogenicity of the oral microbiota, we applied by local injection into the gingiva anti-IL-17 antibody or matched control antibody and transferred bacteria to germ-free recipients after placing a ligature between the first and second molar. A heatmap of the bacterial composition of the normoglycemic, diabetic, and IL-17 antibody-treated mice shows that the antibody treatment had a significant impact on a number of bacteria (Figure 3A). Several of the Firmicutes and Proteobacteria were elevated in the diabetic group and reduced by treatment with the IL-17 antibody. Moreover, the Unifrac distance between the normoglycemic and diabetic groups was greater than the distance between

the normoglycemic and diabetic-IL-17 antibody-treated group (Figure 3B). IL-17 antibody treatment altered the bacterial community composition in germ-free recipients relative to recipients inoculated with bacteria from diabetic control mice (Figure S3B, p < 0.05). When the composition of the donor and the recipient mice were compared, they exhibited similar but not identical bacterial communities, consistent with a successful transfer from donors to recipients (Figure 3C). We also examined the diabetes-associated taxa identified in our initial study (Figure 1) to see if their abundance was reduced by IL-17 antibody treatment. Three of the four taxa (Aerococcus, Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus) were not significantly different between the three groups of recipient mice examined, and Clostridiales, which was reduced in diabetic mice, also had the lowest median abundance in germ-free recipients from diabetic donors, but was not statistically significant. However, Enterococcus was significantly different, was elevated in recipients that received bacteria from diabetic animals (Figure 3D, p < 0.05), and was similar in recipients that received bacteria from normoglycemic mice and IL-17 antibody-treated diabetic donors.

Treatment with IL-17 antibody had a dramatic effect on the pathogenicity of the oral bacteria. Bacteria from diabetic donors treated with control antibody stimulated twice as much periodontal bone loss in normal germ-free recipients compared to bacteria from diabetic donors treated with IL-17 antibody, as measured by micro-CT (p < 0.05, Figures 3C and 3D). Similar results were obtained by histomorphometric analysis of bone sections (Figure 3E). Oral bacteria transferred from diabetic donors induced twice as many osteoclasts as bacteria from diabetic donors treated with IL-17 antibody (p < 0.05, Figure 3E). The number of neutrophils (MPO immunopositive cells) recruited in response to bacteria from diabetic mice treated with control antibody was twice that of bacteria from IL-17 antibody-treated diabetic mice (p < 0.05, Figure 3F). Likewise, oral bacteria from diabetic mice induced a 2-fold increase in the infiltration of IL-6 immunopositive cells in germ-free recipients compared to bacteria from diabetic mice treated with the IL-17 antibody (p < 0.05, Figure 3G). Rankl-positive cells showed results similar to IL-6 and demonstrated that IL-17 antibody had a significant effect on bacteria capable of inducing this osteoclastogenic cytokine (Figure 3H).

#### DISCUSSION

Diabetes mellitus causes serious complications that are linked to a chronic inflammatory environment (Wu et al., 2015). This includes periodontal inflammation and bone loss (Lalla and Papapanou, 2011), with the source of inflammation assumed to be

<sup>(</sup>F) Immunofluorescence with anti-IL-6 antibody following transfer of bacteria to normal germ-free mice. Positive cells were counted per mm<sup>2</sup> gingival connective tissue or periodontal ligament.

<sup>(</sup>G) Immunofluorescence with antibody specific for RANKL. RANKL immunopositive cells were counted in the gingival connective tissue and in the periodontal ligament. Immunofluorescence with control antibody was negative. Original magnification of fluorescent images, 400×.

<sup>(</sup>H) mRNA levels in gingiva of recipient mice after transfer of bacteria from diabetic (DB) mice, normoglycemic (NG) mice, or germ-free mice without bacterial transfer. Five gingival samples from different mice were examined per group, and real-time PCR was performed. The results are representative of three analyses. For histomorphometric analysis (C and D), two or three slides were examined per maxilla with maxillary samples obtained from different animals. Sections were examined twice with similar results. For immunofluorescence studies (E–G), two or three slides were examined per maxilla from five different mice per group, and the analysis was carried out twice with similar results. Nuclei were detected by DAPI counterstain. \* indicates significantly different from recipients that received bacteria from diabetic mice (p < 0.05).



#### Figure 3. Local Injection of IL-17 Antibody Reduces Microbial Pathogenicity Induced by Diabetes

(A and B) The oral bacterial composition from normoglycemic (NG), diabetic (DB), and diabetic IL-17A antibody-treated mice (AB) was examined. (A) Heatmap of oral bacteria from 5 NG, 5 DB, and 5 AB groups. (B) Unifrac distance of oral bacterial communities from NG, DB, and AB groups.

(C–H) Bacteria from db/db diabetic mice treated with local injection of IL-17A antibody or matched control antibody were transferred to normal germ-free mice that had ligatures placed between the left maxillary 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> molars. Mice were euthanized 1 week after bacterial transfer, and periodontal tissues were examined. (C) Three-dimensional micro-CT reconstruction and sagittal images. (D) Periodontal bone remaining after bacterial transfer to normal germ-free mice. Five maxillary samples were examined from five different mice per group, and the analysis was performed three times with similar results. (E) Histologic sections were examined to quantify the interdental bone between the first and second molars. Bone-lining multinucleated TRAP-stained osteoclasts were counted per mm bone length. For each measurement, two slides were examined from five maxillary samples per group, and each maxilla was obtained from a different mouse. The results are representative of two separate analyses. (F) Immunofluorescence with anti-myeloperoxidase antibody to quantify neutrophils per mm<sup>2</sup> gingival connective tissue following the transfer of bacteria to normal germ-free mice. (G) Immunofluorescence with anti-IL-6 antibody. (H) Immunofluorescence with

from alterations in host metabolism caused by hyperglycemia (Wu et al., 2015). Our results showed that diabetes increased inflammation in periodontal tissues and alveolar bone loss in the absence of an exogenous infection in mice. This is unusual since the addition of a periodontal pathogen or placement of a ligature is typically needed to induce a significant periodontal bone loss in rodent models (Graves et al., 2008; Hajishengallis et al., 2015). In humans, it has been demonstrated that the diagnosis of periodontitis is accompanied by a shift in the bacterial community structure and composition (Abusleme et al., 2013; Camelo-Castillo et al., 2015; Griffen et al., 2012; Kistler et al., 2013).

This study demonstrates that the development of hyperglycemia in diabetes-prone animals causes a clear shift in the oral microbiome in animals that were initially similar. This shift increases pathogenicity, as shown by changes in the oral cavity of diabetic mice including higher levels of Proteobacteria (Enterobacteriaceae) and Firmicutes (Enterococcus, Staphylococcus, and Aerococcus) that are associated with infectious processes, periodontitis, and delayed wound healing in diabetic animals (Grice et al., 2010; Souto and Colombo, 2008; Vieira Colombo et al., 2016). Overall, the Firmicutes phylum is positively associated with periodontitis and insulin resistance (Demmer et al., 2017). Enterococcus was also the predominant taxa in recipient mice that had bacteria transferred from diabetic animals. We found that diabetes led to reduced oral microbial diversity, consistent with reports that diabetic patients and animal models exhibit decreased bacterial diversity in other sites (Hartstra et al., 2015; Patterson et al., 2015; Ussar et al., 2016). The reduced diversity could potentially enhance susceptibility to the formation of a pathogenic microbiome, as a highly diverse ecosystem is generally more stable and healthier than communities dominated by fewer taxa (Escalante et al., 2015).

The shift in the microbial composition is consistent with increased pathogenicity, but does not prove it. Here we showed that diabetes increases the pathogenicity of oral bacteria by transfer to germ-free mice. Bacteria transferred from the diabetic mice stimulated greater periodontal inflammation, higher expression of the bone-resorbing cytokines IL-6 and RANKL, greater osteoclast formation, and more periodontal bone loss than bacteria from normoglycemic animals. These results demonstrate unequivocally that the diabetic microbiome has an increased capacity to drive pathologic changes that enhance periodontal bone loss.

IL-17 is a multifaceted cytokine associated with both immune protection and immunopathology. High levels of IL-17 are found in chronic periodontitis, and IL-17 stimulates the production of pro-inflammatory mediators such as IL-6 and RANKL and can indirectly promote osteoclastogenesis (Xiao et al., 2016). Genetic defects that cause leukocyte adhesion deficiency in human patients result in exaggerated IL-17 expression in the periodon-tium that is accompanied by dysbiotic bacterial communities, which have increased the potential to further drive IL-17 responses, linking our study with observations in humans (Abus-

leme and Moutsopoulos, 2016; Moutsopoulos et al., 2015). There are several potential mechanisms by which IL-17 inhibition could alter the microbiome, such as altering the bacterial growth or colonization by providing substrates generated from increased inflammation or by changes in anti-bacterial defense (Curtis and Way, 2009).

Local injection of IL-17 altered the microbial composition and decreased the capacity of bacteria transferred from diabetic animals to stimulate periodontal inflammation, osteoclast formation, and periodontal bone loss in normal germ-free mice. The state of chronic inflammation induced by diabetes could disrupt the homeostasis of the bacterial communities in favor of dysbiotic communities capable of inducing periodontal tissue destruction. Though several diabetes-associated taxa were unaffected by local injection of IL-17, the abundance of *Enterococcus* was altered between groups and may be a taxon associated with inflammation in the context of diabetes. These results indicate that high levels of IL-17 contribute to diabetesinduced microbial changes that, in turn, increase pathogenicity.

In summary, there is a complex interaction between diabetes, inflammation, the oral microbiome, and periodontal disease. We were able to dissect each component separately by inhibiting inflammation through local injection of IL-17 antibody and by examining oral microbial pathogenicity by transfer to normal germ-free recipients. These results suggest that the microbiome and the host response interact with each other and each contributes to diabetes-enhanced periodontal disease. This is based on previous findings that the same bacterial stimulus induces greater inflammation in diabetic animals compared to matched normoglycemic controls (Liu et al., 2006; Naguib et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2015) and findings here that transfer of microbes from diabetic mice stimulates greater inflammation and bone loss than transfer from normoglycemic donor mice. Moreover, inhibition of IL-17 establishes that the pathogenicity of the diabetic microbiome is affected by the level of inflammation. Thus, we suggest that diabetes enhances periodontal inflammation and periodontal inflammation causes a pathogenic change in the microbiome to significantly increase the susceptibility and severity of periodontal disease.

#### **STAR**\*METHODS

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- KEY RESOURCES TABLE
- CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING.
- EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS
  METHOD DETAILS

  - Oral Microbiome Transplantation
  - Microbial Genomic DNA Extraction and Library Preparation
  - Sequencing Data Analysis
  - Histologic Study

antibody specific to RANKL. RANKL-positive cells were counted per mm<sup>2</sup> in gingival connective tissue or periodontal ligament. For immunofluorescence (F–H), two or three slides were examined from five maxillary samples, each from a different mouse. The analysis was carried out twice with similar results. Nuclei were detected by DAPI counterstain. Immunofluorescence with each control antibody was negative (not shown). \*, statistical difference (p < 0.05) when compared to germ-free normoglycemic mice that received bacteria from diabetic donor mice. Original magnification of fluorescent images, 400×.

- O Quantitative Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction
- Microscopic Computed Tomography Analysis
- Statistical Analysis

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes three figures, one table, and one file with additional experimental protocols and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.06.014.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

D.T.G. and E.X. designed experiments; E.X., M.M., G.V., S.C., J.D.C., Y.W., and M.L.A. performed experiments; E.X., M.M., J.D.C., K.B., and D.T.G. analyzed results; D.T.G., E.X., K.B., M.M., and J.D.C. wrote the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by grants R01DE017732 and R01DE021921 from the NIDCR and by assistance from the Center for Host-Bacteria Interactions, University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine and the Imaging Core of the Penn Center for Musculoskeletal Diseases. We would like to thank Ana Misic, Dan Beiting, and Aurea Simon-Soro for helpful discussions. We also thank Somreeta Sharma, Lina Jawari, and Richa Pande for their assistance with histologic analysis.

Received: February 17, 2017 Revised: April 13, 2017 Accepted: June 23, 2017 Published: July 12, 2017

#### REFERENCES

Abusleme, L., and Moutsopoulos, N.M. (2016). IL-17: overview and role in oral immunity and microbiome. Oral Dis. *20*, 12598.

Abusleme, L., Dupuy, A.K., Dutzan, N., Silva, N., Burleson, J.A., Strausbaugh, L.D., Gamonal, J., and Diaz, P.I. (2013). The subgingival microbiome in health and periodontitis and its relationship with community biomass and inflammation. ISME J. 7, 1016–1025.

Anderson, M. (2001). A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. Austral Ecology 26, 32–46.

Andriankaja, O.M., Galicia, J., Dong, G., Xiao, W., Alawi, F., and Graves, D.T. (2012). Gene expression dynamics during diabetic periodontitis. J. Dent. Res. *91*, 1160–1165.

Camelo-Castillo, A., Novoa, L., Balsa-Castro, C., Blanco, J., Mira, A., and Tomás, I. (2015). Relationship between periodontitis-associated subgingival microbiota and clinical inflammation by 16S pyrosequencing. J. Clin. Periodontol. *42*, 1074–1082.

Caporaso, J.G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F.D., Costello, E.K., Fierer, N., Peña, A.G., Goodrich, J.K., Gordon, J.I., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 7, 335–336. Published online Apr 11, 2010.

Chapple, I.L., and Genco, R.; working group 2 of the joint EFP/AAP workshop (2013). Diabetes and periodontal diseases: consensus report of the Joint EFP/ AAP Workshop on Periodontitis and Systemic Diseases. J. Periodontol. *84* (4, Suppl), S106–S112.

Colombo, A.P., Bennet, S., Cotton, S.L., Goodson, J.M., Kent, R., Haffajee, A.D., Socransky, S.S., Hasturk, H., Van Dyke, T.E., Dewhirst, F.E., and Paster, B.J. (2012). Impact of periodontal therapy on the subgingival microbiota of severe periodontitis: comparison between good responders and individuals with refractory periodontitis using the human oral microbe identification microarray. J. Periodontol. *83*, 1279–1287.

Curtis, M.M., and Way, S.S. (2009). Interleukin-17 in host defence against bacterial, mycobacterial and fungal pathogens. Immunology *126*, 177–185. Curtis, M.A., Zenobia, C., and Darveau, R.P. (2011). The relationship of the oral microbiotia to periodontal health and disease. Cell Host Microbe *10*, 302–306.

Demmer, R.T., Breskin, A., Rosenbaum, M., Zuk, A., LeDuc, C., Leibel, R., Paster, B., Desvarieux, M., Jacobs, D.R., Jr., and Papapanou, P.N. (2017). The subgingival microbiome, systemic inflammation and insulin resistance: The Oral Infections, Glucose Intolerance and Insulin Resistance Study. J. Clin. Periodontol. *44*, 255–265.

Edgar, R.C. (2010). Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST. Bioinformatics *26*, 2460–2461.

Emrich, L.J., Shlossman, M., and Genco, R.J. (1991). Periodontal disease in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. J. Periodontol. *62*, 123–131.

Escalante, A.E., Rebolleda-Gómez, M., Benítez, M., and Travisano, M. (2015). Ecological perspectives on synthetic biology: insights from microbial population biology. Front. Microbiol. *6*, 143.

Graves, D.T., Fine, D., Teng, Y.T., Van Dyke, T.E., and Hajishengallis, G. (2008). The use of rodent models to investigate host-bacteria interactions related to periodontal diseases. J. Clin. Periodontol. *35*, 89–105.

Grice, E.A., Snitkin, E.S., Yockey, L.J., Bermudez, D.M., Liechty, K.W., and Segre, J.A.; NISC Comparative Sequencing Program (2010). Longitudinal shift in diabetic wound microbiota correlates with prolonged skin defense response. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 14799–14804.

Griffen, A.L., Beall, C.J., Campbell, J.H., Firestone, N.D., Kumar, P.S., Yang, Z.K., Podar, M., and Leys, E.J. (2012). Distinct and complex bacterial profiles in human periodontitis and health revealed by 16S pyrosequencing. ISME J. *6*, 1176–1185.

Hajishengallis, G., Liang, S., Payne, M.A., Hashim, A., Jotwani, R., Eskan, M.A., McIntosh, M.L., Alsam, A., Kirkwood, K.L., Lambris, J.D., et al. (2011). Low-abundance biofilm species orchestrates inflammatory periodontal disease through the commensal microbiota and complement. Cell Host Microbe 10, 497–506.

Hajishengallis, G., Lamont, R.J., and Graves, D.T. (2015). The enduring importance of animal models in understanding periodontal disease. Virulence *6*, 229–235.

Han, Y.W., and Wang, X. (2013). Mobile microbiome: oral bacteria in extra-oral infections and inflammation. J. Dent. Res. *92*, 485–491.

Hartstra, A.V., Bouter, K.E., Bäckhed, F., and Nieuwdorp, M. (2015). Insights into the role of the microbiome in obesity and type 2 diabetes. Diabetes Care *38*, 159–165.

Hernandez, C.J., Guss, J.D., Luna, M., and Goldring, S.R. (2016). Links between the microbiome and bone. J. Bone Miner. Res. *31*, 1638–1646.

Hong, B.Y., Furtado Araujo, M.V., Strausbaugh, L.D., Terzi, E., Ioannidou, E., and Diaz, P.I. (2015). Microbiome profiles in periodontitis in relation to host and disease characteristics. PLoS One *10*, e0127077.

Jiao, Y., Darzi, Y., Tawaratsumida, K., Marchesan, J.T., Hasegawa, M., Moon, H., Chen, G.Y., Núñez, G., Giannobile, W.V., Raes, J., and Inohara, N. (2013). Induction of bone loss by pathobiont-mediated Nod1 signaling in the oral cavity. Cell Host Microbe *13*, 595–601.

Khosravi, A., Yáñez, A., Price, J.G., Chow, A., Merad, M., Goodridge, H.S., and Mazmanian, S.K. (2014). Gut microbiota promote hematopoiesis to control bacterial infection. Cell Host Microbe *15*, 374–381.

Kistler, J.O., Booth, V., Bradshaw, D.J., and Wade, W.G. (2013). Bacterial community development in experimental gingivitis. PLoS One 8, e71227.

Kumar, P.S., Leys, E.J., Bryk, J.M., Martinez, F.J., Moeschberger, M.L., and Griffen, A.L. (2006). Changes in periodontal health status are associated with bacterial community shifts as assessed by quantitative 16S cloning and sequencing. J. Clin. Microbiol. *44*, 3665–3673.

Lalla, E., and Papapanou, P.N. (2011). Diabetes mellitus and periodontitis: a tale of two common interrelated diseases. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 7, 738–748.

Li, S., Konstantinov, S.R., Smits, R., and Peppelenbosch, M.P. (2017). Bacterial biofilms in colorectal cancer initiation and progression. Trends Mol. Med. *23*, 18–30.

Liu, R., Bal, H.S., Desta, T., Krothapalli, N., Alyassi, M., Luan, Q., and Graves, D.T. (2006). Diabetes enhances periodontal bone loss through enhanced resorption and diminished bone formation. J. Dent. Res. *85*, 510–514.

Löe, H. (1993). Periodontal disease. The sixth complication of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 16, 329–334.

Maekawa, T., Abe, T., Hajishengallis, E., Hosur, K.B., DeAngelis, R.A., Ricklin, D., Lambris, J.D., and Hajishengallis, G. (2014). Genetic and intervention studies implicating complement C3 as a major target for the treatment of periodontitis. J. Immunol. *192*, 6020–6027.

McIntosh, M.L., and Hajishengallis, G. (2012). Inhibition of Porphyromonas gingivalis-induced periodontal bone loss by CXCR4 antagonist treatment. Mol. Oral Microbiol. *27*, 449–457.

Moutsopoulos, N.M., Chalmers, N.I., Barb, J.J., Abusleme, L., Greenwell-Wild, T., Dutzan, N., Paster, B.J., Munson, P.J., Fine, D.H., Uzel, G., and Holland, S.M. (2015). Subgingival microbial communities in Leukocyte Adhesion Deficiency and their relationship with local immunopathology. PLoS Pathog. *11*, e1004698.

Naguib, G., Al-Mashat, H., Desta, T., and Graves, D.T. (2004). Diabetes prolongs the inflammatory response to a bacterial stimulus through cytokine dysregulation. J. Invest. Dermatol. *123*, 87–92.

Nakajima, M., Arimatsu, K., Kato, T., Matsuda, Y., Minagawa, T., Takahashi, N., Ohno, H., and Yamazaki, K. (2015). Oral administration of P. gingivalis induces dysbiosis of gut microbiota and impaired barrier function leading to dissemination of enterobacteria to the liver. PLoS One *10*, e0134234.

Ohlrich, E.J., Cullinan, M.P., and Leichter, J.W. (2010). Diabetes, periodontitis, and the subgingival microbiota. J. Oral Microbiol. 2, 2.

Pacios, S., Kang, J., Galicia, J., Gluck, K., Patel, H., Ovaydi-Mandel, A., Petrov, S., Alawi, F., and Graves, D.T. (2012). Diabetes aggravates periodontitis by limiting repair through enhanced inflammation. FASEB J. *26*, 1423–1430.

Paster, B.J., Olsen, I., Aas, J.A., and Dewhirst, F.E. (2006). The breadth of bacterial diversity in the human periodontal pocket and other oral sites. Periodontol. 2000 *42*, 80–87.

Patterson, E., Marques, T.M., O'Sullivan, O., Fitzgerald, P., Fitzgerald, G.F., Cotter, P.D., Dinan, T.G., Cryan, J.F., Stanton, C., and Ross, R.P. (2015). Streptozotocin-induced type-1-diabetes disease onset in Sprague-Dawley rats is associated with an altered intestinal microbiota composition and decreased diversity. Microbiology *161*, 182–193.

Price, M.N., Dehal, P.S., and Arkin, A.P. (2010). FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS One 5, e9490.

Sekirov, I., Russell, S.L., Antunes, L.C., and Finlay, B.B. (2010). Gut microbiota in health and disease. Physiol. Rev. *90*, 859–904.

Souto, R., and Colombo, A.P. (2008). Prevalence of Enterococcus faecalis in subgingival biofilm and saliva of subjects with chronic periodontal infection. Arch. Oral Biol. *53*, 155–160.

Sweeney, T.E., and Morton, J.M. (2013). The human gut microbiome: a review of the effect of obesity and surgically induced weight loss. JAMA Surg. *148*, 563–569.

Ussar, S., Fujisaka, S., and Kahn, C.R. (2016). Interactions between host genetics and gut microbiome in diabetes and metabolic syndrome. Mol. Metab. *5*, 795–803.

Vieira Colombo, A.P., Magalhães, C.B., Hartenbach, F.A., Martins do Souto, R., and Maciel da Silva-Boghossian, C. (2016). Periodontal-disease-associated biofilm: A reservoir for pathogens of medical importance. Microb. Pathog. *94*, 27–34.

Wu, Y.Y., Xiao, E., and Graves, D.T. (2015). Diabetes mellitus related bone metabolism and periodontal disease. Int. J. Oral Sci. 7, 63–72.

Wu, Y., Dong, G., Xiao, W., Xiao, E., Miao, F., Syverson, A., Missaghian, N., Vafa, R., Cabrera-Ortega, A.A., Rossa, C., Jr., and Graves, D.T. (2016). Effect of aging on periodontal inflammation, microbial colonization, and disease susceptibility. J. Dent. Res. *95*, 460–466.

Xiao, W., Li, S., Pacios, S., Wang, Y., and Graves, D.T. (2016). Bone remodeling under pathological conditions. Front. Oral Biol. *18*, 17–27.

### **STAR**\***METHODS**

#### **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**

REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Antibodies		
Primary goat anti-mouse IL-17A	R&D	Cat# MAB421, RRID: AB_2125018
RANKL antibody	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	Cat# sc-7628, RRID: AB_2206074
IL-6 antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab7737, RRID: AB_306031
MPO antibody	Abcam	Cat# ab9535, RRID: AB_307322
secondary donkey anti-goat IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase	PerkinElmer	Part #: NEF822E001EA
Bacterial and Virus Strains		
P. gingivalis	ATCC	33277
F. nucleatum	ATCC	25586
Biological Samples		
murine oral swab (microbiota)	from this study	N/A
murine gingival tissue	from this study	N/A
murine maxilla with associated molar teeth and periodontal tissue	from this study	N/A
Critical Commercial Assavs		
DAB substrate staining	PerkinElmer	Cat #:SK-4100
TRAP Kit	Sigma-Aldrich	Part #:SD387A
DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kits	QIAGEN	69506
MinElute PCR Purification kit	QIAGEN	28004
Agencourt AMPure XP	Agencourt	A63882
Accuprime Taq Polymerase HiFi	Invitrogen	12346-086
QiaAmp DNA Mini Spin Columns	QIAGEN	N/A
High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit	Thermo Fisher	Catalog#: 4387406
Deposited Data		
16S V4 DNA sequencing raw data	NCBI SRA: SRP108800	https://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/ sra/sra.cgi?study=SRP108800
Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains		
db/db (BKS.Cg-Dock7m +/+ Leprdb/J) obese diabetic	Jackson Laboratories	Stock #:000642
db/db (BKS.Cg-Dock7m +/+ Leprdb/J) lean normoglycemic	Jackson Laboratories	Stock #:000642
Germ-free mice	Penn Gnotobiotic Mouse Facility	C57BL/6J
Oligonucleotides		
515F/806R primer	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A
Primers for IL-17 A: forward- tcagcgtgtccaaacactgag; reverse- cgccaagcgagttaaagactt	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A
Probe for IL-17A mRNA	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary Probes #74; Cat #: 04688970001
Primers for IL-1a: forward- ttggttaaatgacctgcaaca; reverse- gagcgctcacgaacagttg	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A
Probe for IL-1α mRNA	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary probe: #52, cat.no. 04688490001
Primer for IL-6: forward-tgccttcatttatcccttgaa; reverse-ttactacattcagccaaaaagcac	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A
Probe for IL-6	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary probe: #93, cat.no. 04692101001

(Continued on next page)

Continued			
REAGENT or RESOURCE	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER	
Primers for MPO: forward-gatggaatggggagaagctc; reverse-gcaggtagtcccggtatgtg	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A	
Probe for MPO	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary probe: #79, cat.no. 04689020001	
Primers for iNOS: forward- ctttgccacggacgagac; reverse- tcattgtactctgagggctgac	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A	
Probe for iNOS	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary probe: #13, cat.no. 04685121001	
Primers for TNFa: forward- ctgtagcccacgtcgtagc; reverse- ttgagatccatgccgttg	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A	
Probe for TNFa	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary Probes #25; Cat #: 04686993001	
Primers for housekeeping gene RPL32: forward- gagctgctacaacggcaac; reverse- tggac ggctaatgctggt	Integrated DNA Technologies	N/A	
Probe for RPL32	Roche Life Science	Universal ProbeLibrary probe: #78, cat.no. 04689011001	
Software and Algorithms			
QIIME version 1.9.1	QIIME Development Team	http://qiime.org	
R Language for Statistical Computing version 3.3.2	R Foundation for Statistical Computing	https://www.r-project.org	
Vegan, R package version 2.4-3	R Foundation for Statistical Computing	https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan	
APE, R package version 4.1	R Foundation for Statistical Computing	http://ape-package.ird.fr/	
FastTree version 2.1.3	Lawrence Berkeley National Lab	http://www.microbesonline.org/fasttree/	
OsirixMD version 6.5.2	Pixmeo	N/A	
Prism software	GraphPad	N/A	
UCLUST version 1.2.22	drive5	http://www.drive5.com/uclust/ uclustq1.2.22_i86linux64	
Other			
Next-Generation Sequencing Core facility	University of Pennsylvania	https://ngsc.med.upenn.edu	

#### CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING

Further information and requests for the reagents or resources may be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Dr. Dana Graves (dtgraves@upenn.edu).

#### **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**

Approximately 6-week-old type 2 diabetic female db/db (BKS.Cg-Dock7m +/+ Leprdb/J) and normoglycemic littermate controls were purchased from Jackson laboratories (Bar Harbor, Maine). For the 1<sup>st</sup> set of experiments, 10 db/db mice and 9 normoglycemic littermates were used to evaluate the oral microbiota, periodontal inflammation, and bone loss. For the 2<sup>nd</sup> set, 10 db/db mice were randomly assigned to two groups by using excel "int(rand())" command, one of which group received an oral injection of anti-IL-17A neutralizing antibody (R&D,MAB421, 5ul per site), and the other an equal amount of control IgG. Mice were housed in special cages (Lenderking Caging Products, Millersville, MD) with perforated floors to prevent accumulation of feces and limit coprophagy. Blood glucose was monitored weekly by tail vein bleeds with a digital glucometer (Lifescan Inc., Wayne, PA). Oral swabs were first taken when mice were ~8 weeks and final swabs when they were 12-13 weeks. Hyperglycemia was defined as blood glucose levels greater than 225 mg/dl. The db/db mice with serum glucose concentrations below 225 mg/dl or normoglycemic littermate controls with levels greater than 100 mg/dl at eight weeks were excluded. All mice were housed in the same environment under specific pathogen-free conditions with cycles of 14 hr' daylight and 10 hr' dark. Mice were euthanized by an overdose of intra-peritoneal injection of keta-mine and xylazine and decapitation. Maxillary and mandibular jaws were harvested for further analysis. The Institutional Animal Care

and Use Committee of the University of Pennsylvania approved all procedures and experiments were carried out following their guidelines.

#### **METHOD DETAILS**

#### **Oral Microbiome Transplantation**

To ensure that recipient mice received similar inoculations, microbiota collected from donor mice of the same group were pooled. Bacteria were eluted and suspended in 1 mL sterile PBS. Colony forming units (CFU) were measured as previously described using a standard curve (Hajishengallis et al., 2011; Jiao et al., 2013; McIntosh and Hajishengallis, 2012; Wu et al., 2016). A standard bacterial reference sample was made consisting of a 1:1 mixture of Pg (ATCC 33277) and Fn (ATCC 25586) serially diluted 1:4 from 10<sup>9</sup> to 10<sup>6</sup> CFU. Total DNA was extracted with a DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit. Oligonucleotides primers 5'TCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAGT-3', 5'-GGACTACCAGGGTATCTAATCCTGTT-3' for a universal 16S rRNA bacterial sequence was used to identify Ct (cycle threshold) values for each dilution with an ABI 7500 Fast System thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) to generate a standard curve. From the standard curve the CFU was obtained by real-time PCR for each murine bacterial sample. Each recipient mouse was inoculated with 200ul of the bacterial sample in 2% methylcellulose (Sigma, Saint Louis, Missouri). Of the 28 recipient germ-free mice, 10 mice received oral bacteria from normoglycemic donor mice, 9 received samples from diabetic donor mice and 9 received samples from diabetic donor mice treated with IL-17A antibody. Each germ-free mouse was inoculated with bacteria from donor mice twice with a one-day interval between inoculations. Just prior to the first inoculation a ligature was placed between the first and second maxillary molar as previously described to provide conditions that facilitate bacteria-induced periodontal bone loss (Jiao et al., 2013; Maekawa et al., 2014). After 7 days, germ-free recipient mice were euthanized and oral swabs were taken of the teeth and gingiva. Recipient mice in which bacteria were not detected after transfer or mice in which the ligatures were lost were excluded from the study.

#### **Microbial Genomic DNA Extraction and Library Preparation**

Bacteria were obtained by oral swab of the teeth and gingival surface with Catch-All Sample Collection Swabs (Epicenter Biotechenologies, Madison, WI) and bacteria were eluted in PBS in cell lysis buffer from a DNeasy kit (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) as described by the manufacturer and (Grice et al., 2010). After a 60 s vortex, DNA present in the buffer was isolated with the DNeasy kit and quantitated with a spectrophotometer (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). 515F/806R primer with golay barcode in the reverse primer was used to amplify the V4 region of 16S ribosomal DNA (16S rDNA; IDT, Coralville, IA, USA) following the procedures described in Methods S1 and Grice et al., 2010.

#### **Sequencing Data Analysis**

Sequence data was analyzed with the QIIME pipeline, version 1.9.1 (Caporaso et al., 2010). The forward and reverse reads were joined with no mismatches permitted. Read quality lower than Q29 or more than 3 consecutive low-quality base calls were discarded. Sequences were clustered into operational taxonomic units at a 97% similarity threshold using the UCLUST method (Edgar, 2010). Taxonomic assignments were generated using the default consensus-based algorithm in QIIME. A phylogenetic tree was inferred using the FastTree method (Price et al., 2010). Diversity, richness and bacterial taxon abundances were compared using the Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney test, as appropriate. Multiple comparisons were addressed by adjusting for a false discovery rate of 5%. UniFrac distances were compared using the PERMANOVA test (Anderson, 2001). Because non-parametric statistical tests were used, we did not apply additional diagnostic methods to ensure that data conformed to expected parametric distributions. Sequencing was performed in each individual sample once. Raw DNA sequence data was deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive, and is available under accession number SRP108800 (https://trace.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Traces/sra/sra.cgi? study=SRP108800).

#### **Histologic Study**

IL-17A expression in periodontal tissue was detected by immunohistochemistry with decalcified paraffin embedded sections at 4 µm thickness. Primary goat anti-mouse IL-17A (R&D, AF-421-NA) was used and detected by secondary donkey anti-goat IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (PerkinElmer, Waltham, Massachusetts) followed by DAB substrate staining (PerkinElmer, Waltham, Massachusetts). To evaluate bone resorption activity, the number of tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase positive (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) multinucleated cells were counted. Images were captured with a fluorescence microscope and Nikon NIS-Elements software (Nikon, Melville, NY, USA). Immunofluorescence was used to assess RANKL expression, myelo-peroxidase-positive cells, and IL-6. Specific primary antibody to RANKL was obtained from Santa Cruz biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA, to IL-6 from Abcam (Cambridge, MA) and MPO from Abcam. Quantitative analysis of RANKL, IL-6, and MPO was obtained by measuring the number of immunopositive cells by immunofluorescence with specific antibody. In each case a matched control antibody was also used and gave negative results. A histologic section was chosen for each animal so that the analysis was performed in a consistent region defined as the mid interproximal area between the teeth. RANKL was measured at 400X magnification with the region of interest consisting of the entire gingival connective tissue from the alveolar bone crest to the epithelial border bordered laterally by the root surface of each tooth. In addition, the coronal third of the periodontal ligament was assessed. MPO and IL-6 were measured at 400X magnification images of the entire gingival connective tissue as described for RANKL. For

histomorphometric analysis a minimum of 5 maxillae were examined per group from different animals and the analysis was carried out twice with similar results. The number of immunopositive cells was counted by a blinded observer per unit area with NIS-Elements software (Nikon) and data are expressed as the number per mm<sup>2</sup>. For each antibody, a matched control antibody was used with negative results for the immunostaining. TRAP staining was performed by using TRAP staining kit (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### **Quantitative Real-time Polymerase Chain Reaction**

Total RNA was extracted from gingival tissue by using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN) followed by revers transcription. 1  $\mu$ g of total RNA was reverse transcribed using High Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts). Primers were obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, Iowa) and probes from Roche Life Science (Indianapolis, IN). Results were normalized with respect to the value obtained for the housekeeping gene RPL32, a ribosomal protein.  $\Delta$ Ct was calculated by subtracting the Ct value of the house-keeping gene (RPL32) from the target gene Ct value.  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct was calculated by subtracting the experimental group target gene  $\Delta$ Ct with control group target gene  $\Delta$ Ct. Relative mRNA expression was calculated by 2<sup>- $\Delta\Delta$ Ct}. Each experiment was performed two to four times with similar results. All the primers and probe was shown in the Key Resources Table. Details of the primers and probes are given in the Key Resources Table. A minimum of six maxillary gingival tissue samples per group were examined from different mice by real-time PCR, which was performed three times with similar results.</sup>

#### **Microscopic Computed Tomography Analysis**

Maxillae were dissected after euthanasia and scanned with the  $\mu$ CT-40 (Scano Medical AG, Bassersdorf, Switzerland). Histomorphometric analysis was performed with the OsirixMD software (version 6.5.2, Pixmeo – Bernex, Switzerland). The amount of alveolar bone present was evaluated as previously described by using ImageJ and OsirixMD version 6.5.2. The alveolar bone area between the molar teeth was calculated by ImageJ from images obtained with OsirixMD software using the cemental-enamel junction as a coronal landmark to a fixed apical distance as described for histologic sections. The cemental surface of each tooth was used as a lateral boundary and the amount of bone within the space was calculated. A minimum of six maxillary samples from different mice were examined per group with the analysis performed 3 times with similar results.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis of microbial samples is given in the Sequencing Data Analysis section above. Statistical analysis for all other experiments was performed using GraphPad Prism software from GraphPad Software Inc. (La Jolla, CA, USA). All data were expressed as the mean  $\pm$  SEM. The difference between two groups was established by the Student's t test. Multiple group comparisons were performed by one-way ANOVA, with Tukey's or Bonferroni's post hoc test to identify differences between specific groups. A value of p < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. No analysis was performed to determine whether the data met assumptions of the statistical approach. Each sample examined was from a different animal and the individual animal was the unit of measurement. The number of animals examined per group and the number of times the experiments were carried out are given in the STAR Methods above and in the Figure Legends. For all experiments a minimum sample size of 5 was required based on our previous experience and published studies.