Potential biological damage of human peripheral blood lymphocytes induced by computed tomography examination of the oromaxillofacial region
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Objectives. The aim of this study was to examine whether oromaxillofacial computed tomography (CT) examination causes biologic damage in lymphocytes and whether the biologic damage is related to radiation dose, patient age, or gender.

Study Design. Peripheral blood was taken from 51 individuals and divided into control, in vivo, and in vitro irradiation groups. Biologic damage was assessed by comparing rates of chromosomal aberrations (CAs), including dicentric chromosomes (dics), centric rings, and acentric fragments; and nuclear aberrations, including micronuclei (MN), nuclear buds (NBUDs), and nucleoplasmic bridges (NPBs) in the peripheral blood before and after CT examination. Absorbed and effective doses were calculated with the software VirtualDose, and the blood dose was estimated accordingly.

Results. The rates of acentric fragments, MN, NBUDs, and NPBs in the in vivo (P ≤ .008) and in vitro (P ≤ .003) irradiation groups were significantly higher than those in the control groups. The acentric fragment rate (P = .013) and MN rate (P = .002) were higher in the in vitro group than in the in vivo group. There was no correlation between change rates of CAs and nuclear aberrations with radiation dose. Positive correlations of MN rates with age were found in all groups (P ≥ 0.590).


Examination of patients with computed tomography (CT) is necessary and commonly used for diagnosis, treatment planning, and prognosis evaluation in daily clinical work. With increased use of CT examinations, potential biologic damage has become a public concern.

Chromosomal aberration (CA) is the outcome most often used to evaluate the potential biologic damage to human beings in relation to ionizing radiation exposure. Different types of CAs are observed in exposed lymphocytes, including dicentric chromosomes (dics), centric rings, and acentric fragments. Dics are abnormal chromosomes with 2 centromeres. They are formed by the incorrect combination of 2 broken chromosomes, resulting in fragments without centromeres. The centric ring is formed from the incorrect rejoining of a chromosome when 2 breaks occur on either side of the centromere in the same chromosome. The acentric fragment is a piece of a broken chromosome of varying size and does not contain a centromere. Acentric fragments may be produced separately or in association with dics and centric rings.1 Dics are unstable because their frequency decreases with the turnover of peripheral blood lymphocytes. Thus, for reliable dose assessment of all 3 abnormalities, CA assays should be performed within a few weeks of exposure to radiation.2

Nuclear aberrations representing chromosomal damage and genomic instability also result from radiation exposure and are assessed with the cytokinesis-block micronucleus (CBMN) assay.3,4 These aberrations include micronuclei (MN), nuclear buds (NBUDs), and nucleoplasmic bridges (NPBs). MN are considered to be indicators of chromosome loss and chromosome breakage.5 They are formed by an acentric fragment or chromatid fragment that lags in the anaphase of cell division, ending up in the cellular cytoplasm and not in the nucleus.6 NBUDs are generated to eliminate amplified DNA and DNA repair complexes. NPBs are formed from dicentric chromosomes during anaphase, when the centromeres are pulled to opposite poles.5

Because nuclear aberrations can only be expressed in cells that have completed nuclear division, the CBMN assay has been developed to identify such cells on the basis of their binucleated appearance when performing cytokinesis blocked by cytochalasin B, a microfilament-assembly inhibitor. The CBMN assay is now widely used in monitoring genotoxicity caused by physical and chemical factors and in screening the radiosensitivity of tumors and interindividual variations.5

The radiation dose in CT examinations can be estimated by applying several parameters. Among those, the

Statement of Clinical Relevance

Computed tomography (CT) examination of the oromaxillofacial region can cause nuclear damage in the peripheral lymphocytes. Although CT is very helpful in medical care, this potential risk must be borne in mind when prescribing the examination.
CT dose index (volume) (CTDI\textsubscript{vol}) and the dose—length product (DLP) are widely used. However, both CTDI\textsubscript{vol} and DLP are indices of the radiation output of the CT system, and neither of them corresponds directly with the radiation dose delivered to the patient. Because it is not possible to measure the doses delivered to a patient directly, a human simulation phantom is often used for the purpose of measuring the doses absorbed by various organs. This method is time consuming and not a real measurement. A practical method for a relatively accurate estimation of absorbed doses and, consequently, the calculated effective dose (E), can be performed by using the Monte Carlo (MC) method.6,8-10

To our knowledge, no studies have exclusively investigated the possible biologic damage resulting from CT examination of the oromaxillofacial region. Therefore, the objectives of the study were to assess whether exposure of blood cells (in vivo and in vitro) to radiation in doses used in CT examinations increases the formation of CAs and nuclear aberrations; to examine the correlations between radiation dose and the production of CAs and nuclear aberrations; and to examine the correlations between patient age or gender and the formation of these anomalies. The null hypotheses stated that there would be no significant effect of CT radiation doses on the frequency of CAs and nuclear aberrations and no significant relationship between radiation dose, patient age, or patient gender and the production of these abnormalities.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
Patients in the study were selected from approximately 1500 patients who had had CT examinations of the head and neck in our hospital from May to September 2019. The inclusion criteria were as follows:

1. No major systemic diseases, including diseases of blood
2. No history of cancer and/or radiation therapy
3. No history of surgical treatment
4. No history of systemic medication
5. No recent infections
6. No exposure to diagnostic radiation within the past three months
7. No current history of smoking and/or drinking

In total, 51 patients were included. All CT examinations and blood collections were performed on the basis of treatment requirements and not solely for the purposes of this research.

Before CT examination, individual patient information, including age, gender, height, weight, medical history, radiographs exposed, and the exposure parameters, was recorded. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology, (Beijing, China) and conducted in compliance with the tenets of the Helsinki Declaration. All procedures were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations. Each patient included in the project signed a detailed informed consent form.

CT examination and blood sample collection
CT examination was performed with a 16-slice helical CT scanner (Optima CT 520; GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI). Before the CT examination, the accuracy and repeatability of the CTDI\textsubscript{vol} of the machine was verified. During the CT examination, the tube voltage was set at 120 kVp, and the tube current was automatically adjusted according to the patient’s condition, resulting in a range of 80 mA to 300 mA. The other imaging parameters included rotation time of 0.8 second, table feed per rotation of 18.75 mm, detector width of 1.25 mm, scan field of view of 25 cm, and matrix of 512 mm. The collimation (beam width) of the CT scan was 20 mm, according to the manufacturer’s setting, and the pitch was 0.938. Scanning length was 14 cm to 23.75 cm.

Before the CT examination, a 4mL sample of peripheral blood was drawn from each patient, of which 2 mL of blood was used for the control group and 2 mL for the in vitro irradiation group. For in vitro irradiation, the blood collection tube was placed beside the patient’s head during exposure in the CT examination. To ensure that the 3-dimensional space between the blood collection tube and the x-ray tube remained consistent, the blood collection tube was placed close to the left inner wall of the head positioning device and the long axis of the tube paralleled the Z-axis, making the midpoint through the X-axis of the positioning line. After 5 minutes of the examination, 2 mL of blood was retaken from the same patient and was used for the in vivo irradiation group.

Peripheral blood lymphocyte cultures for CA assay
Whole blood (0.5 mL) from each of the 3 groups (control, in vivo irradiation, and in vitro irradiation) was added to 4.5 mL of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)—containing medium (Biological Industries, Kibbutz Beit Haemek, Israel). After stimulation with PHA for 68 hours, colchicine solution (Coolaber, Beijing, China) was added 4 hours before harvesting. After hypotonic treatment with 0.075 M potassium chloride, lymphocytes were fixed in a methanol-to-acetic acid ratio of 3:1, and the cell suspension was dropped onto clean glass slides. Slides were stained with 10% Giemsa stain and then microscopically observed under × 1000 oil immersion (Olympus BX51, Tokyo, Japan). Scoring for the presence of CAs was performed blindly by 2 trained, experienced observers, who were
not informed of the irradiation status before evaluation. These observers each had greater than 5 years of experience in microscopic examination. For each slide, 200 well-speared metaphases were scored. Each metaphase was evaluated for the presence of dics, centric rings, and acentric fragments. To ensure correct scoring, only those dics or centric rings that were observed together with fragments were counted. These fragments were not included in the scoring of acentric fragments.

Peripheral blood lymphocyte cultures for CBMN assay
Whole blood (0.5 mL) from each of the 3 groups (control, in vivo irradiation, and in vitro irradiation) was added to 4.5 mL of PHA-containing medium. After stimulation with PHA for 44 hours, cytokinesis was blocked with 6 μg/mL cytochalasin B (Cyt B; Alladin, Shanghai, China) and lymphocytes were harvested 28 hours later. The hypotonic treatment and fixing and staining procedures were the same as for the CA assay. Slides were examined under an optical microscope at × 400 magnification and scored by the 2 observers. In total, 2000 binucleated lymphocytes for each subject were examined for MN, NBUDs, and NPBs, according to the scoring criteria outlined by the Human Micronucleus Project.11

Dose calculation
CTDIvol and DLP were recorded from the CT system. The absorbed dose and E were calculated by using VirtualDose (Virtualphantoms Inc., Albany, NY). E was calculated by using tissue weighting factors from the International Commission on Radiological Protection publication No. 103.12 The blood absorbed doses were estimated as recommended by Rothkamm et al.13 Organ-specific blood volumes were adopted from previously reported reference data.14 Gender-specific blood volumes and radiation dose calculations were averaged. The blood-weighted dose (estimated blood dose by organ-specific blood volumes) is the absorbed dose in each organ or tissue multiplied by the proportion of blood. The blood absorbed dose is the sum of the blood-weighted doses for all organs or tissues (i.e., the absorbed dose in each organ or tissue multiplied by the proportion of blood volume). Estimated blood dose by organ-specific blood volumes (the blood-weighted dose) is shown in Table I.

Statistical analysis
The software package SPSS version 16.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was employed for statistical analysis. Differences between the mean aberration detection rates of dics, centric rings, acentric fragments, MN, NBUDs, and NPBs in blood drawn before and after CT examinations were analyzed by using Wilcoxon’s signed rank test. Friedman’s signed rank test was used to analyze the differences among the 3 sets of sample groups (control, in vivo irradiation, and in vitro irradiation groups). The change rates, defined as the rate of aberrations in the in vivo or in vitro group minus the rate in the control group, were examined for correlation between aberrations and radiation doses. For analysis of correlations among different dose levels, the change rates of CAs and the nuclear aberrations, and correlations between patient age and gender and the rates of CAs and nuclear alterations, Spearman’s rank correlation test was employed. The significance of differences was set at \( P < .05 \).

RESULTS
Patients
The study included 30 males and 21 females. The age of the patients (mean ± standard deviation [SD]) was 40.76 ± 13.43 years. The regions exposed during CT ranged from the calvarium to the thyroid gland (2 cases); from the forehead to the thyroid gland (13 cases); from the calvarium to the clavicle (1 case); from the eyebrows to the thyroid gland (30 cases); from the eyebrows to the clavicle (3 cases); and from nasion to the thyroid gland (2 cases).

Radiation exposure and aberrations
Chromosomal aberrations. Cytologic examples of CAs are shown in Figure 1. Aberration data from the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ</th>
<th>Blood volume (100%)</th>
<th>Blood absorbed dose (mGy)</th>
<th>Blood-weighted dose (mGy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenal glands</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.0252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gonads</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart</td>
<td>16.66</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.1056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidneys</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.0080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.0975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle</td>
<td>12.25</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.0453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.0132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spleen</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skeleton</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.0581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph nodes</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large intestine</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>large veins</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other tissues</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All organs</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>. . .</td>
<td>0.3956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Contribution of blood in given organ to total blood volume.
CA assay are shown in Table II. Compared with the control group, the overall CA rates of both the in vivo ($P = .005$) and the in vitro ($P < .001$) irradiation groups were significantly increased. The mean rates of dic and acentric fragments in the in vivo irradiation group were greater than in the control group, and the rates in the in vitro irradiation group were greater than in the in vivo group. No centric rings were detected in any of the 3 groups.

For dics, the differences in rates of aberrations among the 3 groups (control, in vivo irradiation, and in vitro irradiation groups) were not significant. Comparisons of pairs of conditions yielded insignificant differences (control vs in vivo: $P = .317$; in vivo vs in vitro: $P = .564$; and in vitro vs control: $P = .157$). For acentric fragments, however, the differences in the rates of aberrations among the 3 groups were significant, with comparisons of pairs of conditions also being significant (in vivo significantly larger than control: $P = .008$; in vitro significantly larger than in vivo: $P = .013$; and in vitro significantly larger than control: $P < .001$). The results are shown in Figure 2.

Nuclear aberrations. Cytologic examples of MNs, NBUDs, and NPBs are shown in Figure 3. Aberration data from the CBMN assay are shown in Table III. The rates of all 3 types of aberrations were higher in the in vivo irradiation group than in the controls, and higher in the in vitro group than in the in vivo group. Compared with the control group, the overall nuclear aberration rates of both in vivo ($P < .001$) and in vitro ($P < .001$) were significantly increased. For MN, the differences in rates of aberrations among the 3 groups (control, in vivo irradiation, and in vitro irradiation groups) were significant. Comparisons of pairs of conditions were also significant, with the rate of MN in the in vivo irradiation group larger than in the controls ($P < .001$); the rate in the in vitro group larger than in the in vivo group ($P = .002$); and the rate in the in vitro group larger than in the control group ($P < .001$). For NBUDs and NPBs, comparisons were significant between the in vivo and control groups ($P \leq .018$) and between the in vitro and control groups ($P \leq .003$), but there were no significant differences between the in vivo and in vitro groups ($P \geq .440$), as shown in Figure 4. The overall mean rates of MN, NBUDs, and NPBs in the in vivo and in vitro irradiation groups were higher than those in the control group and were significantly different among the 3 groups: MN ($P < .001$); NBUDs ($P = .002$); and NPBs ($P = .010$).

Correlations of radiation dose with aberrations
The ranges of CTDI$_{vol}$, DLP, E, and blood absorbed dose are shown in Table IV. The blood absorbed doses

---

**Table II.** Cells observed, detection numbers of each type of aberration, detection number of total aberrations, and detection rate of mean aberration for chromosomal aberrations in the CA assay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cells observed</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>In vivo irradiation</th>
<th>In vitro irradiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dicentric chromosomes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centric rings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acentric fragments</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total aberration detection number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aberration detection rate (%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CA, chromosomal aberration.
were estimated with phantom dosimetry and ranged from 0.24 mGy to 0.83 mGy.

There was no correlation between irradiation doses and the change rates of CAs ($P \geq .110$) and nuclear aberrations ($P \geq .222$) in the in vivo and in vitro irradiation groups. The correlation coefficients and the $P$ values are shown in Table V and Table VI.

**Correlations of age and gender with aberrations**

Neither age nor gender had a correlation with CAs before or after CT examination either for the in vivo ($P \geq .365$) or the in vitro ($P \geq .088$) irradiation groups (Table VII). Positive correlations of MN rates with age were found in the control ($\rho = 0.629; P < .001$), in vivo ($\rho = 0.590; P < .001$), and in vitro ($\rho = 0.624; P < .001$) irradiation groups. However, there were no correlations between age and NBUDs or NPBs ($P \geq .057$). There were no correlations between gender and any of the nuclear aberrations before or after CT examination ($P \geq .073$) (Table VIII).

**DISCUSSION**

Analysis of CAs in human peripheral blood lymphocytes has been developed as an indicator of ionizing radiation dose. In the present study, the total CA mean aberration detection rate was significantly increased after CT examination ($P \leq .005$). This is in line with the findings of the study by Kanagaraj et al., in which the overall mean CA frequency obtained after CT examination showed an extremely significant increase compared with that obtained before exposure. In our study, the acentric fragment rates in the in vivo and in vitro irradiation groups, compared with that in the control group, were significantly increased ($P \leq .008$), similar to the findings by Stephan et al., who analyzed the peripheral blood of 10 children after CT
examination and found that the acentric fragment rate had increased significantly.\(^{16}\)

Another finding of the present study was that the mean aberration detection rate of dics was not significantly increased in the in vivo or in vitro irradiation group compared with the control group (\(P^{\geq.157}\)). This contrasts with results from other studies. Stephan et al.\(^{16}\) conducted a small-scale investigation with samples from 10 pediatric patients undergoing CT examination (blood doses in the range of 1.2–31.3 mGy). They found that single CT scans significantly elevated dic formation in the peripheral lymphocytes of children 0.4 to 9 years of age but not in those of children 10 to 15 years of age, indicating that younger children may be more radiosensitive compared with older patients. In 2015, Abe et al.\(^{17}\) analyzed CAs in 10 children with lymphoma, lung cancer, and abnormal chest shadows after CT examination and found that dics were significantly increased, but the increased dic values were not correlated with the radiation dose. In that study, the DLP per CT examination was 619.1 to 5501.3 mGy·cm and the E range was 5.78 to 60.27 mSv. In the study by Shi et al.,\(^{18}\) dics were significantly increased in 60 patients without cancer who underwent cardiac or hepatic dynamic CT examinations. The DLP range of these CT examinations was 629.0 to 3171.9 mGy·cm, and the E range was 8.8 to 44.4 mSv. The possible explanation for the differences between these investigations and the present study may be attributed to the fact that the formation of dics is not sensitive at very low doses. In the present investigation, DLP (446.25–917.10 mGy·cm) and E (0.39–1.06 mSv) were lower than the radiation doses reported in the studies mentioned above.\(^{16,17}\)

The CBMN assay has been established to quantify radiation effects on chromosomal DNA. In the present study,

### Table III

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Aberration</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>In vivo irradiation</th>
<th>In vitro irradiation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micronuclei</td>
<td>746</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>1323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear buds</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleoplasmic bridges</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total aberration detection number</td>
<td>772</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>1397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean aberration detection rate (%)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBMN, cytokinesis block micronuclei.

### Table IV

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTDI(_{\text{vol}}) (mGy)</td>
<td>25.26</td>
<td>44.21</td>
<td>33.76</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLP (mGy·cm)</td>
<td>446.25</td>
<td>917.10</td>
<td>661.90</td>
<td>130.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (mSv)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood absorbed dose (mGy)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTDI\(_{\text{vol}}\), computed tomography dose index (volume); DLP, dose–length product; E, effective dose.

Fig. 4. Mean rates of micronuclei, nuclear buds, and nucleoplasmic bridges in the control group and in the in vivo and in vitro irradiation groups.
mean aberration detection rates after CT examination were significantly different from those before the examination (P < .001), which is the same as the results from the other studies. Ait-Ali et al. demonstrated that median MN values increased significantly after radiologic procedures, with a median lifetime cumulative E of 7.7 mSv per patient (range 4.6—41.2 mSv) in children with congenital heart disease. In the study by Kanagaraj et al., 27 patients...
underwent CT examinations. The DLP range was 515.9 to 3726.9 mGy-cm, and the E range was 1.18 to 63.36 mSv. The results showed that the micronuclei cell rate was significantly increased after CT examination.

The results of the present study revealed that the CA and nuclear aberration rates in the in vitro group were higher than those in the in vivo irradiation group. This is because in the in vivo irradiation group, blood was not fully irradiated; soft tissues and bone had an attenuation effect on the radiation. Blood in the in vitro irradiation group, however, was completely exposed to radiation, with no attenuation effects.

Although CAs and nuclear aberrations in peripheral blood can be observed in healthy people, their numbers are much lower than in those exposed to radiation. This was identified in one of our previous studies, in which 98 patients underwent cytogenetic examination of buccal mucosal cells before and 10 days after diagnostic dental radiographic procedures were performed. Of these patients, 8 were recalled 1.5 years later, not having had any additional irradiation during that period, and again had buccal mucosal cells collected for cytogenetic observation at the day of the examination and 10 days later. The mean aberration detection rate of MN in the 8 returning patients ranged from 0.5% for the first sampling at the 1.5 year recall examination down to 0.25% for the second sampling 10 days later. However, in the total of 98 patients, the mean rate of MN increased from 0.38% before irradiation to 0.60% immediately after dental radiographic examinations. To avoid the differences between individuals in the production of CAs and nuclear aberrations in normal conditions, the change rate of aberrations, which equals the mean aberration detection rate after CT examinations minus the rate before CT examinations, was used for the analysis of correlations with the radiation dose in the present study. No correlations were found between the irradiation doses and the change rates of CAs (P ≥ .110) and nuclear aberrations (P ≥ .222) in the present investigation. This is in line with the results from other research. In the study by Abe et al., the correlation between the increment of dic formation and the effective radiation dose was analyzed but showed a negative result. In the study by Ait-Ali et al., the same result was found, even taking the patient’s weight into account when analyzing the relationship between the dose—area product and increased MN rates.

Age may play a role in DNA damage before and after irradiation. In the present study, the mean aberration detection rate of MN in human peripheral blood lymphocytes was positively correlated with age (ρ ≥ 0.590, P < 0.001). One other study showed the same result. However, in our previous studies, age was not observed as a factor for the increased rate of MN in exfoliated oral mucosal cells. A possible explanation for this interesting finding may be that peripheral blood lymphocytes are more sensitive to age changes compared with exfoliated cells of the buccal mucosa.

Gender may also be one of the factors influencing DNA damage after irradiation. Studies have shown that MN frequency was higher in females than in males, however, this was not identified in the present research. The possible reason may be the low doses delivered to our study patients compared with the other investigations. For example, in the study by Cai et al., 2 Gy γ-ray photons were used. Further research is needed to address this problem. In addition, some studies have suggested that smoking and drinking may affect the frequency of MN and CAs. Therefore, patients who smoked or drank alcohol were excluded in the present study.

This study had some limitations. Changes in peripheral blood lymphocytes were monitored only 5 minutes after CT examination. First, we did not have sufficient time to observe the repairability of, and the long-term effects of radiation in, the human body, so we do not know whether the biologic effects continued to exist after 0.5 hours, 6 hours, or a longer time. Second, even though we calculated the patients’ absorbed doses by using the VirtualDose software program, it is still a calculation based on 25 virtual phantoms, not real patients. The patients’ individual conditions could not be completely or accurately reflected.

CONCLUSIONS

Radiation during CT examinations of the oromaxillofacial region can cause biologic damage in peripheral blood lymphocytes. Although CT of this region is very helpful in medical care, health care providers must bear in mind the potential risk when prescribing this examination.
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