

REGARDING "MANDIBULAR  
RECONSTRUCTION WITH A DEEP CIRCUMFLEX  
ILIAC ARTERY FLAP USING COMPUTER-ASSISTED  
AND INTRAORAL ANASTOMOSIS  
TECHNIQUES"



*To the Editor:*—I read with great interest the article by Zheng et al<sup>1</sup> about reconstruction of the mandible with the deep circumflex iliac artery (DCIA) flap using computer-assisted technique and intraoral anastomosis. The authors point out that the main advantage for intraoral anastomosis is the absence of an extraoral incision or scar. This statement is true; however, some points and limitations about intraoral anastomosis were not reported in the article. Thus, I would like to stress a few important issues.

First, in their case series of 4 patients, most of the mandibular pathology was benign, with 1 low-grade malignancy (low-grade osteosarcoma). They did not stress that only benign pathology permits an intraoral approach for the segmental resection of the mandible. For malignant pathology, an external approach from the neck would be more advisable to obtain adequate surgical margins and perform potential neck dissection and prepare the vessels for the microvascular reconstruction.

Second, the pedicle length for mandibular reconstruction with a DCIA flap will not be a problem because the neck vessels are close to the mandible. Thus, intraoral anastomosis will not be necessary as it is for maxillary reconstruction.

Thus, the approach to resect the mandible and perform the reconstruction through the intraoral approach is advisable only for those with benign mandibular pathology.

EMIL DEDIOL, MD, PHD  
Zagreb, Croatia

## Reference

1. Zheng L, Wu W, Shi Y, Zhang J: Mandibular reconstruction with a deep circumflex iliac artery flap using computer-assisted and intraoral anastomosis techniques. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 77: 2567, 2019

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2020.01.039>

## REPLY



*To the Editor:*—Thank you for giving us the opportunity to reply to the Letter to the Editor in response to our report "Mandibular Reconstruction With a Deep Circumflex Iliac Artery Flap Using Computer-Assisted and Intraoral

Anastomosis Techniques."<sup>1</sup> We appreciate the Letter to the Editor by Dr Dediol for his constructive comments. Our responses to the issues that were raised follow.

First, we agree with Dr Dediol's statement that benign mandibular pathology permits an intraoral approach. Furthermore, the approach to resect and reconstruct the mandible through the intraoral approach is advisable for patients with low-grade malignant mandibular pathology. Also, the intraoral approach without skin scars is preferred for patients with congenital deformities and patients with post-traumatic defects requiring mandibular reconstruction who require more esthetic results.

Second, the pedicle length for mandibular reconstruction with a deep circumflex iliac artery (DCIA) flap is suitable for both intraoral and extraoral anastomosis. We chose the intraoral anastomosis to reconstruct the mandible with a DCIA flap, not only because the intraoral anastomosis technique is especially valuable in select patients who have a high demand for esthetic reconstruction, but also because it can benefit patients predisposed to forming keloids. In addition, the potential risk of stigmatization of young patients is avoided, which could cause severe psychological problems in a pediatric population.<sup>2</sup>

Finally, facial nerve branches could be damaged because of its lateral course in relation to the facial vessels; thus, injury and paralysis of the nerve could be avoided.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, an intraoral anastomosing technique should always be one of the optimal options in the strategy of personalized mandibular reconstruction after segmental mandibulectomy.

LEI ZHENG, MD, DDS  
WENJIE WU, MD, DDS  
YAN SHI, MD, DDS  
JIANGUO ZHANG, MD, DDS  
Beijing, People's Republic of China

## References

1. Zheng L, Wu W, Shi Y, Zhang J: Mandibular reconstruction with a deep circumflex iliac artery flap using computer-assisted and intraoral anastomosis techniques. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 77: 2567, 2019
2. Masnari O, Landolt MA, Roessler J, et al: Self- and parent-perceived stigmatisation in children and adolescents with congenital or acquired facial differences. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 65:1664, 2012
3. Nkenke E, Agaimy A, von Wilmowsky C, et al: Mandibular reconstruction using intraoral microvascular anastomosis following removal of an ameloblastoma. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 71:1983, 2013

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2020.02.008>

Letters to the Editor must be in reference to a specific article or editorial that has been published by the Journal. Letters must be submitted within 6 weeks of the article's print publication or, for an online-only article, within 8 weeks of the date it first appeared online. Letters must be submitted electronically via the Elsevier Editorial System at <http://ees.elsevier.com/joms>. Letters are subject to editing and those exceeding 500 words may be shortened or not accepted due to length. One photograph may accompany the letter if it is essential to understanding the subject. Letters should not duplicate similar material or material published elsewhere. There is no guarantee that any letter will be published. Prepublication proofs will not be provided. Submitting a Letter to the Editor constitutes the author's permission for its publication in any issue or edition of the journal, in any form or medium.