

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.e-jds.com



Microtensile bond strength to sealercontaminated dentin after using different cleaning protocols



Journal of

Dental

Sciences

Hai-Ling Zang^a, Sheng-Nan Ai^b, Yu-Hong Liang^{a,c*}

^a Department of Cariology and Endodontology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology, National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, National Engineering Laboratory for Digital and Material Technology of Stomatology, Beijing Key Laboratory of Digital Stomatology, Beijing, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics, Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

^c Department of Stomatology, Peking University International Hospital, Beijing, China

Received 20 April 2021; Final revision received 27 May 2021 Available online 22 June 2021

Abstract Background/purpose: Sealer residues on dentin may affect bonding to restorative materials. This study aimed to evaluate the bond strength to sealer-contaminated dentin after using different cleaning protocols. Materials and methods: Freshly extracted bovine incisors were prepared and exposed the buccal pulp chamber dentin, obtaining segments measuring 5 mm × 5 mm with a height of 3 mm. The segments were randomly distributed into 4 groups ($n = 7$) according to different protocols. Control group: no contamination was performed. In the three experimental groups, the segments were contaminated with epoxy resin-based sealer for 5 min, and different cleaning protocols were performed. Acetone group: acetone-saturated cotton pellets were used to wipe the sealer. Ultrasound group: ultrasonic ET-20D tip cleaning. Acetone combined with ultrasound group: cleaning with acetone-saturated cotton pellets and ultrasonic tip. All segments were optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT) to evaluate sealer residues. A microtensile test was performed on the remaining 5 samples, which were built up with composite resin. Results: Sealer residues were observed in 3 of 14 (21.4%) sections of acetone group by SS-OCT. Compared to the control, ultrasound group exhibited the highest bond strength (39.38 MPa), which differed from that of the acetone group, which provided the lowest bond strength ($P < .05$). Conclusion: Cleaning epoxy resin-based sealer-contaminated dentin surfaces using ultrasound
<i>Conclusion:</i> Cleaning epoxy resin-based sealer-contaminated dentin surfaces using ultrasound or combined with acetone could preserve the bond strength.

* Department of Cariology and Endodontology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology, No.22, Zhongguancun South Avenue, Haidian District, Beijing, 100081, China. Fax: + 86 1082195475.

E-mail address: leungyuhong@sina.com (Y.-H. Liang).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2021.05.016

1991-7902/© 2021 Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

© 2021 Association for Dental Sciences of the Republic of China. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Introduction

Numerous studies have demonstrated that the quality of the coronal seal influences the long-term outcome of endodontic treatment.^{1–4} With advances in restorative materials and bonding technology, the immediate coronal sealing on endodontically treated teeth using composite resins is increasing. During root canal obturation, the endodontic sealer may remain over the dentin surface and even penetrate into dentin tubules at varving depths ranging from 71 to $1337 \,\mu m$.⁵ The sealer trapped within the adhesive layer is not bondable to methacrylate resins and could hence hamper the formation of the hybrid layer.⁶ Sealer contamination leads to increased gap formation at the tooth-restoration interface.⁷ Researchers have also verified that the persistence of unset sealer residues negatively affects the bond strength of resin to dentin, decreasing by 16-31%.^{6,8}

The most common recommended method to clean sealer residues on intracoronary dentin is to use organic solvents, such as ethanol, formamide and acetone.^{6,8–16} Researchers have been pursuing an ideal solvent that is safe and effective for removing sealer remnants and exerts minimal negative effects on bonding procedures. However, to date, no solvent has satisfied all the expectations. In addition to chemical solvents, mechanical methods such as diamond bur debridement or air polishing have been investigated for sealer residue removal. However, cleaning with a round bur inevitably implies dentin removal, and the air polishing method is not effective in removing sealer residues.^{14,15}

Ultrasound has drawn our attention for its cleaning ability achieved by shear forces generated from cavitation bubbles and acoustic streaming.¹⁷ The indispensable dental applications of ultrasound in endodontics and periodontology include cleaning dental plaque and calculus, removing intracanal materials and cutting dentin.^{18,19} Therefore, it is reasonable to speculate that ultrasound is helpful for removing unset sealer residues.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the cleaning efficacy of ultrasound in removing sealer residues on dentin surfaces.

Materials and methods

Specimen preparation

Twenty-eight freshly extracted bovine incisors without the presence of caries and cracks were selected. These teeth were cleaned and stored in deionized water at a temperature of $4 \,^{\circ}$ C within 2 months of extraction. The incisal portion of each crown was removed horizontally to expose the pulp chamber dentin with a double-sided diamond disc (SYJ-150,

Shenyang Kejing Autoinstrument Co., Ltd., Shenyang, Liaoning, China) under water cooling. Then, the crown was longitudinally sectioned into buccal and lingual half. The buccal part was sectioned into segments measuring $5 \text{ mm} \times 5 \text{ mm}$ with a height of 3 mm. The segments were wet polished with 600-grit silicon paper for 1 min and rinsed with deionized water for 1 min to create a standardized bonding substrate. The flow chart was shown in Fig. 1.

All segments were randomly assigned to 4 groups according to different surface contamination and cleaning protocols (n = 7). Control group: no contamination and no cleaning were performed on the pulp chamber dentin surfaces. In the three experimental groups, a thin layer of freshly mixed AH Plus sealer was applied evenly over the dentin surfaces using a microbrush (Microbrush Int., Grafton, WI, USA) and left undisturbed for 5 min. Acetone (Ace) group: The contaminated dentin surfaces were wiped using cotton pellets saturated with 99.5% acetone for 15 s until no sealer was observed on the surface when viewed under a stereomicroscope at \times 10 magnification. Ultrasound (Ultra) group: Cleaning was performed using an ET20D tip for 30s with an ultrasonic device (P5 Newtron, Satelec Acteon, Merignac, France) at setting "Yellow 8" wet mode. The contact with the surface without pressure was at an angulation of approximately $20-25^{\circ}$ with the last 2 mm put against the surface of the specimen. Acetone combined with ultrasound (A&U) group: The contaminated dentin surfaces were first wiped with 99.5% acetone-saturated cotton pellets, followed by ultrasonic cleaning according to a previously described method.

Cross-sectional imaging of the adhesive interface

A self-etch adhesive (Clearfil SE Bond, Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was bonded to the segments (n = 2) according to the manufacturer's instructions. This experiment involved the use of a swept-source optical coherence tomography (SS-OCT) system (Physics Department of Tsinghua University, Beijing, China) for dentin-adhesive interface and sealer residue observation. The SS-OCT system used in the current study consists of a wavelength-swept source, an optical fiber interference system, a photodetector and a computer as an image processing system. This system incorporates an infrared laser with a 1326-nm central wavelength as a light source at a 108-nm spectral bandwidth. The repetition frequency was 20 kHz, the coherent length was 7.9 mm, and the maximum imaging depth was 0.77 mm. This system generates images with a lateral resolution of 10 μ m and an axial resolution of 8 μ m in air, which corresponds to 5.3 μ m in tissue, assuming a refractive index of 1.5. For each sample, the first SS-OCT image was taken 1 mm from the edge, seven serial images were reconstructed



Figure 1 Work flow chart of this experiment. Ace: acetone; RC: resin composite; SS-OCT: swept-source optical coherence tomography; Ultra: ultrasound; μTBS: microtensile bond strength.

using the system's proprietary software with 0.01-mm-thick slices at an interval of 500 μm . Fourteen section images were obtained for each group. On the SS-OCT image, the bright spot in the adhesive layer was determined to be the sealer residue. The SS-OCT examinations were performed by one experienced examiner who was asked to view all images to determine the presence or absence of sealer residues.

Microtensile bond strength test

The remaining segments (n = 5) were built up with a selfetch adhesive (Clearfil SE Bond, Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Tokyo, Japan) and 3-mm composite resin (Clearfil AP-X, Kuraray Noritake Dental Inc., Tokyo, Japan), which was applied in increments of less than 2 mm. Each layer was cured with an LED light-curing unit according to the manufacturer's instructions. After being stored in distilled water at 37 °C for 24 h, the samples were fixed to an acrylic plate and serially sectioned into 1-mm thick dentincomposite sticks. The sample size for each subgroup was 30. The thickness (a) and width (b) of the bonded area $(S = a \times b)$ were measured using a Vernier caliper (MNT-150, Shanghai Yingte Trade Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The sticks were attached to a microtensile device (MicroTensile Tester, Bisco, Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA) using a cyanoacrylate adhesive (Guangzhou Aibida Adhesives Co., Ltd., Guangzhou, Guangdong, China) and stressed in tension at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min until failure. The data were obtained as Newtons (N) and converted to Megapascal (MPa) based on the following calculation: force at debonding (N) divided by the cross-sectional area of each beam (mm²). Failure modes were analyzed under a stereomicroscope at $45 \times$ magnification and classified into 3 categories: adhesive failure, cohesive failure and mixed failure.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted with SPSS software (version 22.0, IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). The microtensile bond strength data were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tamhane's T2 post hoc tests. The distribution of failure mode was analyzed using the chi-square test. The statistical significance level was set at a = 0.05.

Results

In the Ace group, sealer residues of AH Plus were manifested within the adhesive layer in 3 of 14 (21.4%) SS-OCT scans. No sealer residues were observed in the other groups (Fig. 1a-d).

There was a significant difference in bond strength between the 4 groups (P = .017) (Table 1). The ultrasonic cleaning group exhibited the highest bond strength, which differed from that of the Ace group, which provided the lowest bond strength (P = .048). Among the groups, bond strength was ranked as follows: Ultra, A&U, control and Ace. The bond strengths of the Ultra, Ace and A&U group were statistically similar to that the control group (P > .05). The distribution of failure mode showed no significant difference within groups (P = .851). Altogether, in the 4 groups, the most prevalent failure mode was the mixed type (44%), and the proportion of the cohesive failure mode is the least (17%) (Fig. 2). Table 1Microtensile bond strengths (MPa) and failuremodes (%) of different groups.

Mean (standard deviation)	Failure Mode		
	Mixed	Adhesive	Cohesive
34.32 (8.40) ^{a,b}	46.7	36.7	16.7
32.88 (9.87) ^a	36.7	50.0	13.3
39.38 (8.44) ^b	50.0	30.0	20.0
35.40 (4.96) ^{a,b}	43.3	40.0	16.7
	Mean (standard deviation) 34.32 (8.40) ^{a,b} 32.88 (9.87) ^a 39.38 (8.44) ^b 35.40 (4.96) ^{a,b}	Mean (standard deviation) Mixed 34.32 46.7 (8.40) ^{a,b} 36.7 (9.87) ^a 30.38 50.0 (8.44) ^b 35.40 43.3 (4.96) ^{a,b} 43.3	Mean (standard deviation) Failure M Mixed Adhesive 34.32 46.7 36.7 (8.40) ^{a,b} 36.7 50.0 32.88 36.7 50.0 (9.87) ^a 30.0 (8.44) ^b 35.40 43.3 40.0

Different letters indicate significant differences between groups (P < .05).

Discussion

The coronal sealing of endodontically treated teeth plays an important role in clinical success because it can prevent leakage of bacteria, saliva and endotoxins.²⁰ However, during root canal obturation, the sealer may affect the interaction of dentin and materials, thus compromising the formation of a satisfactory seal.⁷ The present study compared the efficacy of mechanical and/or chemical methods for sealer remnant removal.

In the current study, pulp chamber dentin of the bovine incisor, which has an irregular surface, was used as a bonding substrate. Bovine tooth has been used as a reliable substitute for that from humans in bond strength tests due to their similar physical-chemical properties (e.g., almost equal calcium and phosphorus contents (weight %), diameters of dentinal tubules and acid resistance). $^{21-23}$

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is often used to observe sealer residues and requires specimen processing, such as spraying gold and a vacuum environment.^{10–13} In the present study, a real-time noninvasive SS-OCT imaging technique was used to evaluate trapped sealer remnants in the dentin-adhesive interface.²⁴ OCT technology has been used in several clinical applications, such as monitoring the internal adaptation of resin composite restoration and cariogenic demineralization and detecting tooth cracks.^{25–27} It can provide high-resolution images and allow visualization of the internal microstructure within biological tissues using near-infrared light, which is vividly called "optical biopsy".²⁸ Observers can distinguish structures or media from each other on OCT images for the difference in refractive index leads to various signal intensities.²⁹

During the last two decades, there have been 10 published in vitro studies on sealer removal, with different cleaning methods proposed.^{6,8–16} Dry cotton pellets and organic solvents were mostly studied, followed by mechanical drill refinement, air polishing, microabrasion. It has been found that after cleaning using dry cotton pellets, the bond strength decreased to 69%–88% to that of uncontaminated controls.^{6,8,14,16} Of the numerous organic solvents available, formamide provides high cleaning efficacy, but its potential teratogenicity has been noted in studies.^{6,12} In practice, ethanol is a routinely used solvent for surface cleaning due to its easy accessibility, but its efficiency is unfavorable.^{6,10–13} As for acetone, it is a bipolar solvent that can dissolve both polar and nonpolar compounds. The epoxy-resin based AH Plus sealer, which is



Figure 2 Reconstructed cross-sectional images of the bonded interface scanned by SS-OCT. In the Ace group (b), sealer residues were observed in the adhesive layer (bright spots of high signal intensity, arrows), and no sealer residues were detected in the control group (a), Ultra group (c) or A&U group (d). Generic descriptors: D: dentin. S: sealer. In: interface.

composed of apolar substances, is probably partially miscible with acetone, enabling it to be dissolved by acetone according to the "like dissolves like" concept.⁶ Acetone was recommended by Kuga as a good alternative for cleaning sealer residues.¹² In our previous study, we applied acetone to sealer-contaminated dentin and confirmed that acetone resulted in a higher bond strength than ethanol.¹⁶ In the present study, after cleaning using acetone, no sealer residues were detected in 11 of 14 (78.6%) sections in SS-OCT images.

To our knowledge, no published study has evaluated the cleaning effect of ultrasonic activation on sealercontaminated dentin. The endodontic tip ET 20D was used in the present study for its common use in locating orifice, removing calcified dentin and access preparation in endodontic practice. After cleaning using ET 20D with an ultrasonic device in medium wet mode, sealer residues were completely removed in the adhesive interface on SS-OCT images. This mechanism could be explained by cavitation bubble collapse, rapid microjet impingement, and acoustic streaming, which can create localized shear forces on the surrounding wall.³⁰ Small cavitation bubbles may reach the crevices of microscopically roughened dentin surfaces to remove sealer residues efficiently.³¹ The removal of sealer residues also enhances the adhesion of resin to dentin and tubular penetration. Interestingly, it is noteworthy that the Ultra group had a higher bond strength than the control group, although with no significant difference. Thus, it may be speculated that the use of an ultrasonic endodontic tip also promotes the roughness of the dentin surface, although the power setting of ultrasound was 8 to reduce dentin cutting and achieve passive ultrasonic cleaning.³²

The combination of acetone with ultrasound failed to significantly enhance the cleaning effect. Acetone is a component of dentin primers and has a high capacity for the removal of water from substrates. The excessive use of acetone may negatively affect the bond strength as a result of collagen fiber collapse.³³ However, this assumption warrants further investigation.

In conclusion, ultrasound or ultrasound in combination with acetone could remove root canal sealer residues and preserve the microtensile bond strength.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by Department of Cariology and Endodontology, Peking University School and Hospital of Stomatology, China.

References

 Liang YH, Li G, Wesselink PR, Wu MK. Endodontic outcome predictors identified with periapical radiographs and conebeam computed tomography scans. J Endod 2011;37:326–31.

- Liang YH, Li G, Shemesh H, Wesselink PR, Wu MK. The association between complete absence of post-treatment periapical lesion and quality of root canal filling. *Clin Oral Invest* 2012;16: 1619–26.
- **3.** Ray HA, Trope M. Periapical status of endodontically treated teeth in relation to the technical quality of the root filling and the coronal restoration. *Int Endod J* 1995;28:12–8.
- 4. Ng YL, Mann V, Rahbaran S, Lewsey J, Gulabivala K. Outcome of primary root canal treatment: systematic review of the literature Part 2. Influence of clinical factors. *Int Endod J* 2008;41:6–31.
- 5. Mamootil K, Messer HH. Penetration of dentinal tubules by endodontic sealer cements in extracted teeth and in vivo. *Int Endod J* 2007;40:873–81.
- Roberts S, Kim JR, Gu LS, et al. The efficacy of different sealer removal protocols on bonding of self-etching adhesives to AH plus-contaminated dentin. J Endod 2009;35:563–7.
- Kriznar I, Zanini F, Fidler A. Presentation of gaps around endodontic access cavity restoration by phase contrast-enhanced micro-CT. *Clin Oral Invest* 2019;23:2371–81.
- 8. Topcuoglu HS, Demirbuga S, Pala K, Cayabatmaz M, Topcuoglu G. The bond strength of adhesive resins to AH plus contaminated dentin cleaned by various gutta-percha solvents. *Scanning* 2015;37:138–44.
- **9.** Bronzato JD, Cecchin D, Miyagaki DC, de Almeida JF, Ferraz CC. Effect of cleaning methods on bond strength of selfetching adhesive to dentin. *J Conserv Dent* 2016;19:26–30.
- 10. Gonçalves Galoza MO, Fagundes Jordão-Basso KC, Escalante-Otárola WG, et al. Effect of cleaning protocols on bond strength of etch-and-rinse adhesive system to dentin. *J Conserv Dent* 2018;21:602–6.
- Jordao-Basso KC, Kuga MC, Bandeca MC, Duarte MA, Guiotti FA. Effect of the time-point of acid etching on the persistence of sealer residues after using different dental cleaning protocols. *Braz Oral Res* 2016;30:e133.
- **12.** Kuga MC, Faria G, Rossi MA, et al. Persistence of epoxy-based sealer residues in dentin treated with different chemical removal protocols. *Scanning* 2013;35:17–21.
- **13.** Morais JMP, Victorino KR, Escalante-Otarola WG, Jordao-Basso KCF, Palma-Dibb RG, Kuga MC. Effect of the calcium silicate-based sealer removal protocols and time-point of acid etching on the dentin adhesive interface. *Microsc Res Tech* 2018;81:914–20.
- 14. Peters OA, Teo MRX, Ooi JM, Foo ASW, Teoh YY, Moule AJ. The effect of different sealer removal protocols on the bond strength of AH plus-contaminated dentine to a bulk-fill composite. *Aust Endod J* 2020;46:5–10.
- **15.** Devroey S, Calberson F, Meire M. The efficacy of different cleaning protocols for the sealer-contaminated access cavity. *Clin Oral Invest* 2020;24:4101–7.
- Zang HL, Wang Y, Liang YH. Cleaning efficacy of different solvents on sealer-contaminated dentin surface. *Bei Jing Da Xue Xue Bao (Yi Xue Ban)* 2018;50:63–8 [In Chinese, English abstract].
- Vyas N, Wang QX, Manmi KA, Sammons RL, Kuehne SA, Walmsley AD. How does ultrasonic cavitation remove dental bacterial biofilm? *Ultrason Sonochem* 2020;67:105112.
- Walmsley AD, Lea SC, Landini G, Moses AJ. Advances in power driven pocket/root instrumentation. J Clin Periodontol 2008; 35(Suppl 8):S22-8.
- Plotino G, Pameijer CH, Grande NM, Somma F. Ultrasonics in endodontics: a review of the literature. J Endod 2007;33:81–95.
- 20. Wolanek GA, Loushine RJ, Weller RN, Kimbrough WF, Volkmann KR. In vitro bacterial penetration of endodontically treated teeth coronally sealed with a dentin bonding agent. *J Endod* 2001;27:354–7.
- **21.** Soares FZ, Follak A, da Rosa LS, Montagner AF, Lenzi TL, Rocha RO. Bovine tooth is a substitute for human tooth on bond

strength studies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro studies. *Dent Mater* 2016;32:1385–93.

- 22. Teruel Jde D, Alcolea A, Hernandez A, Ruiz AJ. Comparison of chemical composition of enamel and dentine in human, bovine, porcine and ovine teeth. *Arch Oral Biol* 2015;60:768–75.
- 23. Soares LE, Santo AM. Morphological and chemical comparative analysis of the human and bovine dentin-adhesive layer. *Microsc Microanal* 2015;21:204–13.
- 24. Otis LL, Everett MJ, Sathyam US, Colston Jr BW. Optical coherence tomography: a new imaging technology for dentistry. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2000;131:511–4.
- 25. Han SH, Sadr A, Tagami J, Park SH. Non-destructive evaluation of an internal adaptation of resin composite restoration with swept-source optical coherence tomography and micro-CT. *Dent Mater* 2016;32:e1–7.
- Horie K, Shimada Y, Matin K, et al. Monitoring of cariogenic demineralization at the enamel-composite interface using swept-source optical coherence tomography. *Dent Mater* 2016; 32:1103–12.
- 27. de Oliveira BP, Camara AC, Duarte DA, et al. Detection of apical root cracks using spectral domain and swept-source optical coherence tomography. J Endod 2017;43:1148–51.

- 28. Shemesh H, van Soest G, Wu MK, van der Sluis LW, Wesselink PR. The ability of optical coherence tomography to characterize the root canal walls. *J Endod* 2007;33: 1369–73.
- **29.** Otis LL, Colston Jr BW, Everett MJ, Nathel H. Dental optical coherence tomography: a comparison of two in vitro systems. *Dentomaxillofacial Radiol* 2000;29:85–9.
- Rooze J, Rebrov EV, Schouten JC, Keurentjes JT. Dissolved gas and ultrasonic cavitation-a review. *Ultrason Sonochem* 2013; 20:1–11.
- **31.** Vyas N, Grewal M, Kuehne SA, Sammons RL, Walmsley AD. High speed imaging of biofilm removal from a dental implant model using ultrasonic cavitation. *Dent Mater* 2020;36:733–43.
- **32.** Arora S, Lamba AK, Faraz F, Tandon S, Ahad A. Evaluation of the effects of Er,Cr:YSGG laser, ultrasonic scaler and curette on root surface profile using surface analyser and scanning electron microscope: an in vitro study. *J Laser Med Sci* 2016;7: 243–9.
- de Oliveira E, Cecchin D, Miyagaki DC, et al. Effect of different protocols of eugenol removal on the bond strength between the fibre post and root dentin. *Aust Endod J* 2019;45: 177–83.